arXiv:0712.3695v1 [math.OA] 21 Dec 2007

From Hopf C^*-families to concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules

Thomas Timmermann

timmermt@math.uni-muenster.de
SFB 478 “Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik”
Hittorfstr. 27, 48149 Münster

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In the setting of von Neumann algebras, measurable quantum groupoids have successfully been axiomatized and studied by Enock, Vallin, and Lesieur [3, 8, 16], whereas in the setting of C^*-algebras, a similar theory of locally compact quantum groupoids could not yet be developed. Some basic building blocks for such a theory, like analogues of a Hopf-von Neumann bimodule and of a pseudo-multiplicative unitary, were introduced in [11, 15]. The approach in [11, 15], however, is restricted to “decomposable” quantum groupoids which generalize r-discrete groupoids. Recently, we developed a general approach [12, 13] that covers all locally compact groupoids. In this article, we explain how the special theory of [11, 15] embeds into the general one of [12, 13].

1 Introduction

In the setting of operator algebras, quantum groupoids have successfully been axiomatized and studied only on the level of von Neumann algebras [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 16] but not on the finer level of C^*-algebras. The theory of locally compact quantum groups [5, 6] and the theory of measurable quantum groupoids [8] suggest that on the level of C^*-algebras, a quantum groupoid should be some kind of Hopf C^*-bimodule equipped with operator-valued Haar weights, and that this Hopf C^*-bimodule is closely related to a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary which encodes the quantum groupoid and its generalized Pontrjagin dual.

A first study of such Hopf C^*-bimodules and C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries was started in [11, 15]. But the theory developed there applies only to a special class of quantum groupoids that are analogues of r-discrete groupoids. Recently, we introduced a general definition of Hopf C^*-bimodules and C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries [12, 13, 14] that, we hope, should provide the right basis for the study of quantum groupoids on the level of C^*-algebras. The purpose of this article is to explain how the special theory developed in [11, 15] fits into the general framework introduced in [13].

In both approaches, the definitions of the basic objects involve the notion of a bimodule over a C^*-algebra B, of a relative tensor product of bimodules, of (generalized) C^*-algebras represented on such bimodules, the fiber product of such (generalized) C^*-algebras, and many related constructions. The difference between the two approaches lies in the choices of the category of bimodules and the category of represented (generalized) C^*-algebras. In [15], we have to restrict ourselves to the special case where the two module structures on the bimodules and (generalized) C^*-algebras are related by a family of partial automorphisms of the underlying C^*-algebra.

In this article, we construct a functor from the category of bimodules used in [15] to the category of bimodules used in [13] that is full, faithful, and monoidal in the sense that it preserves the relative tensor product. Moreover, we construct a functor from the category of generalized C^*-algebras (called C^*-families) used in [15] to the category of concrete C^*-algebras used in [12, 13] that is faithful and submonoidal in the sense that it embeds the fiber product of C^*-families into the fiber product of concrete C^*-algebras. These two constructions depend on the choice of a covariant representation of some dynamical system. Using these functors, we associate to suitable Hopf C^*-families [15] concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules [13], and to suitable pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules [15] C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries [13].

This work was supported by the SFB 478 “Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik” which is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

Organization of the article This article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we fix notation and recall some preliminaries concerning C^*-modules, partial automorphisms, and monoidal categories.

In Section 3, we introduce a functor from C^*-bimodules and homogeneous operators to Hilbert spaces and ordinary operators which underlies the constructions in Section 4 and 5.

In Section 4, we embed the monoidal category of certain admissible C^*-bimodules over a C^*-algebra into the monoidal category of C^*-bimodules over a C^*-base.

In Section 5, we to embed the category of certain admissible C^*-families on C^*-bimodules into the category of C^*-algebras over a C^*-base. This embedding is not monoidal but embeds the fiber product of C^*-families into the fiber product of C^*-algebras over a C^*-base.

In Section 6, we use the functor constructed in Section 5 to embed the category of admissible Hopf C^*-families over a C^*-algebra into the category of concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules. Moreover, we associate to a large class of pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries in such a way that the legs of these unitaries are related by the functor constructed in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Given a subset Y of a normed space X, we denote by $[Y] \subseteq X$ the closed linear span of Y. If H is a Hilbert space and $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$, then X' denotes the commutant of X.

We shall make extensive use of (right) C^*-modules, also known as Hilbert C^*-modules or Hilbert modules. A standard reference is [7].

All sesquilinear maps like inner products of Hilbert spaces or C^*-modules are assumed to be conjugate-linear in the first component and linear in the second one.

C^*-modules Let A and B be C^*-algebras. Given C^*-modules E and F over B, we denote the space of all adjointable operators from E to F by $\mathcal{L}_B(E, F)$.

Let E and F be C^*-modules over A and B, respectively, and let $\pi\colon A \to \mathcal{L}_B(F)$ be a $*$-homomorphism. Then one can form the internal tensor product $E \otimes_\pi F$, which is a C^*-module over B [7, Chapter 4]. This C^*-module is the closed linear span of elements $\eta \otimes_A \xi$, where $\eta \in E$ and $\xi \in F$ are arbitrary, and $\langle \eta \otimes_\pi \xi | \eta' \otimes_\pi \xi' \rangle = \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle) \xi' \rangle$ and $(\eta \otimes_\pi \xi)b = \eta \otimes_\pi \xi b$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in E$, $\xi, \xi' \in F$, and $b \in B$. We denote the internal tensor product by “$\varogreaterthan$”; thus, for example, $E \varogreaterthan_\pi F = E \otimes_\pi F$. If the representation π is understood, we write “$\varogreaterthan$” instead of ”$\varogreaterthan_\pi$”.

Given E, F and π as above, we define a *flipped internal tensor product* $F {}_\pi\!\varolessthan E$ as follows. We equip the algebraic tensor product $F \odot E$ with the structure maps $\langle \xi \odot \eta | \xi' \odot \eta' \rangle := \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle) \xi' \rangle$, $(\xi \odot \eta)b := \xi b \odot \eta$, and by factoring out the null-space of the semi-norm $\zeta \mapsto \| \langle \zeta | \zeta \rangle \|^{1/2}$ and taking completion, we obtain a C^*-B-module $F {}_\pi\!\varolessthan E$. This is the closed linear span of elements $\xi {}_\pi\!\varolessthan \eta$, where $\eta \in E$ and $\xi \in F$ are arbitrary, and $\langle \xi {}_\pi\!\varolessthan \eta | \xi' {}_\pi\!\varolessthan \eta' \rangle = \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle) \xi' \rangle$ and $(\xi {}_\pi\!\varolessthan \eta)b = \xi b {}_\pi\!\varolessthan \eta$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in E$, $\xi, \xi' \in F$, and $b \in B$. As above, we write “$\varolessthan$” instead of “${}_\pi\!\varolessthan$” if the representation π is understood.

Evidently, the usual and the flipped internal tensor product are related by a unitary map $\Sigma\colon F \ogreaterthan E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \olessthan F$, $\eta \ogreaterthan \xi \mapsto \xi \olessthan \eta$.

By a *right* C^**-*A*-*B*-bimodule* we mean a C^*-module E over B that is full in the sense that $[\langle E|E\rangle] = B$, together with a fixed nondegenerate representation $A \to \mathcal{L}_B(E)$. Evidently, the class of all right C^*-A-B-bimodules forms a category with respect to the morphism sets

$$\mathcal{L}^A_B(E,F) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_B(E,F) \mid Ta\xi = aT\xi \text{ for all } a \in A, \xi \in E\}.$$

Partial automorphisms Let B be a C^*-algebra. A *partial automorphism* of B is a $*$-automorphism $\theta\colon \operatorname{Dom}(\theta) \to \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$, where $\operatorname{Dom}(\theta)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\theta)$ are closed ideals of B. Since the composition and the inverse of partial automorphisms are partial automorphisms again, the set $\operatorname{PAut}(B)$ of all partial automorphisms of B forms an inverse semigroup [10]. For each $\sigma \in \operatorname{PAut}(B)$, we put $\sigma^* := \sigma^{-1}$. Given $\sigma, \sigma' \in \operatorname{PAut}(B)$, we say that σ *extends* σ' and write $\sigma \geq \sigma'$ if $\operatorname{Dom}(\sigma') \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma|_{\operatorname{Dom}(\sigma')} = \sigma'$. Given partial automorphisms $\theta, \theta' \in \operatorname{PAut}(B)$, we denote by $\theta \wedge \theta'$ the largest partial automorphism that is extended by θ and θ'; thus, $\theta \wedge \theta' = \theta|_I = \theta'|_I$, where $I \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}(\theta) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\theta')$ denotes the largest ideal on which θ and θ' coincide.

Monoidal categories and functors Let us briefly recall the definition of a monoidal category and of a monoidal functor; for details, see [9]. A *monoidal structure* on a category $\mathbf{C}$ consists of

- a bifunctor $\odot\colon \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$, $(E,F) \mapsto E \odot F$;
- an object $I \in \mathbf{C}$ called the unit;
- for each $E, F, G \in \mathbf{C}$, an isomorphism $\alpha_{E,F,G}\colon (E \odot F) \odot G \to E \odot (F \odot G)$ that is natural in E, F, G and makes the following diagram commute for all $E, F, G, H \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
((E \odot F) \odot G) \odot H \arrow[r, "\alpha_{E\odot F,G,H}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{E,F,G} \odot \mathrm{id}"] & (E \odot F) \odot (G \odot H) \arrow[dd, "\alpha_{E,F,G\odot H}"] \\
(E \odot (F \odot G) \odot H) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{E,F\odot G,H}"] & \\
E \odot ((F \odot G) \odot H) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id} \odot \alpha_{F,G,H}"] & E \odot (F \odot (G \odot H));
\end{tikzcd}$$

- for each $E \in \mathbf{C}$, isomorphisms $l_E\colon I \odot E \to E$ and $r_E\colon E \odot I \to E$ that are natural in E and make the following diagram commute for all $E, F \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(E \odot I) \odot F \arrow[rr, "\alpha_{E,I,F}"] \arrow[dr, "r_E \odot \mathrm{id}"'] & & E \odot (I \odot F) \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{id} \odot l_F"] \\
& E \odot F. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *monoidal category* is a category equipped with a monoidal structure.

A *monoidal functor* between monoidal categories $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ consists of

- a functor $\Phi\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$;
- a natural transformation $\tau\colon \odot \circ (\Phi \times \Phi) \to \Phi \circ \odot$ that makes the following diagram

commute for all $E, F, G \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\Phi E \odot \Phi F) \odot \Phi G \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\Phi E, \Phi F, \Phi G}"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{E,F} \odot \mathrm{id}"] & \Phi E \odot (\Phi F \odot \Phi G) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \odot \tau_{F,G}"] \\
\Phi(E \odot F) \odot \Phi G \arrow[d, "\tau_{E \odot F, G}"] & \Phi E \odot \Phi(F \odot G) \arrow[d, "\tau_{E, F \odot G}"] \\
\Phi((E \odot F) \odot G) \arrow[r, "\Phi(\alpha_{E,F,G})"'] & \Phi(E \odot (F \odot G));
\end{tikzcd}$$

- a morphism $\epsilon \colon I_{\mathbf{D}} \to \Phi(I_{\mathbf{C}})$, where $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $I_{\mathbf{D}}$ denote the units of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, respectively, that makes the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I_{\mathbf{D}} \odot \Phi E \arrow[r, "l_{\Phi E}"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon \odot \mathrm{id}"] & \Phi E, & \Phi E \odot I_{\mathbf{D}} \arrow[r, "r_{\Phi E}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \odot \epsilon"] & \Phi E. \\
\Phi I_{\mathbf{C}} \odot \Phi E \arrow[r, "\tau_{I_{\mathbf{C}}, E}"] & \Phi(I_{\mathbf{C}} \odot E) \arrow[u, "\Phi(l_E)"'] & \Phi E \odot \Phi I_{\mathbf{C}} \arrow[r, "\tau_{E, I_{\mathbf{C}}}"] & \Phi(E \odot I_{\mathbf{C}}) \arrow[u, "\Phi(r_E)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

3 Untwisting homogeneous operators on C^*-bimodules

The main idea of this article is to use covariant representations of partial automorphisms to “untwist” homogeneous operators and families of homogeneous operators. We briefly recall the notion of a homogeneous operator and the appropriate notion of a covariant representation before we explain the precise construction.

Homogeneous operators on C^*-bimodules The approach to pseudo-multiplicative unitaries and Hopf C^*-bimodules developed in [11, 15] is based on the concept of right C^*-bimodules and their operators. Naturally, this approach leads to operators that do not preserve the module structure [11, Subsection 1.1.1] like, for example,

- convolution operators on the C^*-bimodule of a groupoid;
- operators of the form $F \to E \ogreaterthan F$, $\eta' \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta'$, and $E \to E \ogreaterthan F$, $\xi' \mapsto \xi' \ogreaterthan \eta$, where E and F are right C^*-bimodules over some C^*-algebra B and $\xi \in E$, $\eta \in F$;
- the operators comprising a Hopf C^*-bimodule, if this Hopf C^*-bimodule does not simply correspond to a bundle of quantum groups.

Therefore, we introduced operators that twist the module structure by partial automorphisms. Let us recall the precise definitions.

Definition ([15])**.** *Let A, B be C^*-algebras, E, F right C^*-A-B-bimodules, and $\rho \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$, $\sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. We call a map $T \colon E \to F$ a* (ρ, σ)-homogeneous operator *if*

i) $\mathrm{Im}(T) \subseteq [\mathrm{Im}(\rho) F]$ *and $Ta\xi = \rho(a) T\xi$ for all $a \in \mathrm{Dom}(\rho)$, $\xi \in E$, and*

ii) there exists a map $S \colon F \to E$ such that $\langle SF | E \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Dom}(\sigma)$ and $\langle \eta | T\xi \rangle = \sigma(\langle S\eta | \xi \rangle)$ for all $\xi \in E$, $\eta \in F$.

We denote by $\mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E, F)$ the set of all (ρ, σ)-homogeneous operators from E to F, and put $(\mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E, F))_{\rho, \sigma}$.

Homogeneous operators share many properties of ordinary adjointable operators on right C^*-bimodules. Let A, B be C^*-algebras, E, F, G right C^*-A-B-bimodules, and $\rho, \rho' \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. If $T \colon E \to F$ is a (ρ, σ)-homogeneous operator, then T is linear, bounded, $\|T\| = \|T^*\| = \|T^*T\|$, and the map S in ii) above is necessarily unique

[15, Proposition 3.2]. We call this map S the *adjoint* of T and denote it by T^*. Moreover, by [15, Proposition 3.7],

$$\mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(F,G)\mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\rho'\rho}_{\sigma'\sigma}(E,G), \qquad (\mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F))^* = \mathscr{L}^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(F,E). \tag{1}$$

We adopt the following notation for families of homogeneous operators. Let A, B and E, F, G be as above, and let $\mathscr{C} = (\mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma})_{\rho,\sigma}$ be a family of closed subspaces $\mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F)$, where $\rho \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, $\sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$.

- Given a family $\mathscr{D} = \big(\mathscr{D}^{\rho}_{\sigma}\big)_{\rho,\sigma}$ of closed subspaces $\mathscr{D}^{\rho}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F)$, we write $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{C}$ if and only if $\mathscr{D}^{\rho}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$ for all $\rho \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$, $\sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$.
- We define a family $\mathscr{C}^* \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F,E)$ by $(\mathscr{C}^*)^{\rho}_{\sigma} := \big(\mathscr{C}^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}\big)^*$ for all ρ, σ.
- Let $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F,G)$ a family of closed subspaces. The product $[\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}] \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,G)$ is the family given by

  $$\big[\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}\big]^{\rho''}_{\sigma''} := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\,\big\{T'T \,\big|\, T' \in \mathscr{D}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'},\, T \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma},\, \rho,\rho' \in \mathrm{PAut}(A), \sigma,\sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B),\\ \rho'\rho \leq \rho'',\, \sigma'\sigma \leq \sigma''\big\}$$

  for all $\rho'' \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$, $\sigma'' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. Clearly, the product $(\mathscr{D},\mathscr{C}) \mapsto [\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}]$ is associative. Similarly, we define families $[\mathscr{D}T]$, $[T'\mathscr{C}] \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,G)$ for operators $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F)$, $T' \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(F,G)$, where $\rho, \rho' \in \mathrm{PAut}(A)$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$.
- We put $[\mathscr{C}E] := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\,\big\{T\xi \,\big|\, T \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}, \rho \in \mathrm{PAut}(A), \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B), \xi \in E\big\}$.
- By a slight abuse of notation, we denote by $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$ and $\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{id}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$ the families given by

  $$(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}})^{\rho}_{\sigma} := \begin{cases} \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\sigma}, & \rho = \mathrm{id}_B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad (\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{id}})^{\rho}_{\sigma} := \begin{cases} \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\mathrm{id}}, & \sigma = \mathrm{id}_B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

  Similarly, we define $\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(E,F) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(E,F) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$.

Untwisting homogeneous operators via covariant representations Till the end of this section, we fix the following data:

- a C^*-algebra B,
- an inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ that satisfies $\theta \wedge \theta' \in \Theta$ for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$,
- a covariant representation (π, v) of (B, Θ) on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K}$, that is, a $*$-homomorphism $\pi\colon B \to \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ and a map $v\colon \Theta \to \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ such that

  $$v(\theta)v(\theta') = v(\theta\theta'), \quad v(\theta)^* = v(\theta^*), \quad v(\theta)\mathfrak{K} = \big[\pi(\mathrm{Im}(\theta))\mathfrak{K}\big], \quad v(\theta)\pi(b)v(\theta)^* = \pi(\theta(b))$$

  for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ and $b \in \mathrm{Dom}(\theta)$.

The first two equations above just say that v is a homomorphism of inverse semigroups $\Theta \to \mathrm{PIso}(\mathfrak{K})$, where $\mathrm{PIso}(\mathfrak{K})$ denotes the inverse semigroup of all partial isometries of $\mathfrak{K}$.

Consider $\mathfrak{K}$ as a right C^*-B-$\mathbb{C}$-bimodule via π.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E, F be right C^*-B-B-bimodules, $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$, and $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F)$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator $T \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi v(\sigma)\colon E \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi \mathfrak{K} \to F \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi \mathfrak{K}$ such that*

$$(T \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi v(\sigma))(\xi \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi \zeta) = T\xi \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi v(\sigma)\zeta \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E, \zeta \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

If $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(E,F) \cap \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E,F)$ for some $\rho', \sigma' \in \Theta$, then $T \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi v(\sigma') = T \mathbin{\varominus}_\pi v(\sigma)$.

Proof. By definition, $\upsilon(\sigma) \in \mathscr{L}^{\sigma}_{\mathrm{id}}(\mathfrak{K})$. Hence, the existence of $T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma)$ follows from [15, Proposition 5.3]. Assume that $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(E,F)$ for some $\rho', \sigma' \in \Theta$. Then [15, Proposition 3.2 (iii)] implies that $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma''}(E,F)$, where $\sigma'' = \sigma \wedge \sigma' \in \Theta$. Let $(u_\nu)_\nu$ be an approximate unit of $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma'')$. Since $\upsilon(\sigma''\sigma''^{*})\mathfrak{K} = [\pi(\mathrm{Im}(\sigma''))\mathfrak{K}]$, the net $(\pi(u_\nu))_\nu$ converges in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ strongly to $\upsilon(\sigma''\sigma''^{*})$. Moreover, $T\xi = \lim_\nu (T\xi)u_\nu$ for each $\xi \in E$ [15, Proposition 3.2 (v)]. Therefore, we have for each $\xi \in E$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{K}$,

$$T\xi \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma)\zeta = \lim_{\nu} (T\xi)u_\nu \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma)\zeta = \lim_{\nu} T\xi \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma''\sigma''^{*})\upsilon(\sigma)\zeta = T\xi \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma'')\zeta,$$

whence $T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma) = T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma'')$. A similar argument shows $T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma'') = T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma')$. ☐

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a functor $\boldsymbol{I}$ from the category of right C^*-B-B-bimodules, where the morphisms are all (ρ,σ)-homogeneous operators with arbitrary $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$, to the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded linear operators, given by*

- $E \mapsto \boldsymbol{I}E := E \otimes_{\pi} \mathfrak{K}$ *for each right C^*-B-B-bimodule E, and*
- $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{I}T := T \otimes_{\pi} \upsilon(\sigma)$ *for each (ρ,σ)-homogeneous operator, where $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$.*

This functor satisfies $\boldsymbol{I}(T^*) = \boldsymbol{I}(T)^*$ for each morphism T.

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 3.1 and equation (1). ☐

We want to apply the functor above to families of homogeneous operators that satisfy the following condition:

Definition 3.3. *Let E, F be right C^*-B-B-bimodules. A family of closed subspaces $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$ is* Θ-supported *if for each $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, the space $\mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$ is equal to the closed linear span of the spaces $\mathscr{C}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}$, where $\rho', \sigma' \in \Theta$ and $\rho' \leq \rho$, $\sigma \leq \sigma'$.*

Given right C^*-B-B-bimodules E, F, denote by $\mathrm{Fam}(E,F)$ the set of all families of closed subspaces $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E,F)$, and by $\mathrm{Fam}_{\Theta}(E,F) \subseteq \mathrm{Fam}(E,F)$ the subset of all Θ-supported families. For each $\mathscr{C} \in \mathrm{Fam}(E,F)$, put

$$\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C} := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\boldsymbol{I}c \mid c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}, \rho, \sigma \in \Theta\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E, \boldsymbol{I}F).$$

Inserting the definitions, we find:

Proposition 3.4. *Let E, F, G be right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C}^*) = \boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})^*, \qquad [\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{D})\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})] \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}[\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}]$$

for all $\mathscr{C} \in \mathrm{Fam}(E,F)$, $\mathscr{D} \in \mathrm{Fam}(F,G)$, and

$$\mathscr{C}^* \in \mathrm{Fam}_{\Theta}(F,E), \qquad [\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}] \in \mathrm{Fam}_{\Theta}(E,G), \qquad [\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{D})\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})] = \boldsymbol{J}[\mathscr{D}\mathscr{C}]$$

for all $\mathscr{C} \in \mathrm{Fam}_{\Theta}(E,F)$, $\mathscr{D} \in \mathrm{Fam}_{\Theta}(F,G)$. ☐

4 From C^*-bimodules over C^*-algebras to C^*-bimodules over C^*-bases

In this section, we use the assignments $\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ to construct a functor from the category of right C^*-bimodules over C^*-algebras used in [11, 15] to the category of C^*-bimodules over C^*-bases used in [12, 13], and show that this functor preserves the relative tensor product.

4.1 The monoidal category of Θ-admissible C^*-bimodules over a C^*-algebra

The theory developed in [11, 15] is based on the notion of decomposable right C^*-bimodules over a C^*-algebra and on the internal tensor product of right C^*-bimodules. In this subsection, we review the category of decomposable right C^*-bimodules, introduce the subcategory of Θ-admissible right C^*-bimodules, and explain the monoidal structure of these categories that is induced by the internal tensor product.

Throughout this subsection, we fix a C^*-algebra B.

Homogeneous elements of C^*-bimodules

Definition ([15]). *Let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule and $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. We call an element $\xi \in E$* θ-homogeneous *if $\xi \in E\,\mathrm{Dom}(\theta)$ and $\xi b = \theta(b)\xi$ for all $b \in \mathrm{Dom}(\theta)$. We denote by $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$ the space of all θ-homogeneous elements of E, and call E* decomposable *if the family $\mathscr{H}(E) := (\mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(E))_{\theta' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)}$ is linearly dense in E.*

We also consider B as a right C^*-B-B-bimodule and denote by $\mathscr{H}_\theta(B) \subseteq B$ the subspace of θ-homogeneous elements. By [15, Proposition 3.14], we have for each right C^*-B-B-bimodule E, F and each $\rho, \sigma, \theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$:

$$\begin{gathered} \langle \mathscr{H}_\theta(E) | \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(E) \rangle \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{(\theta^*\theta')}(B), \quad \mathscr{H}_\rho(B)\mathscr{H}_\theta(E)\mathscr{H}_\sigma(B) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{(\rho\theta\sigma)}(E), \\ \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)\mathscr{H}_{(\theta^*\theta)}(B) = \mathscr{H}_\theta(E) = \mathscr{H}_{(\theta\theta^*)}(B)\mathscr{H}_\theta(E), \\ \mathscr{L}^\rho_\sigma(E,F)\mathscr{H}_\theta(E) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{(\rho\theta\sigma^*)}(F), \quad \theta(\mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(B) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\theta)) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{(\theta\theta'\theta^*)}(B). \end{gathered} \tag{2}$$

Definition 4.1. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an inverse semigroup. We call a right C^*-B-B-bimodule E*

- Θ-decomposable *if the family of subspaces $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$, where $\theta \in \Theta$, is linearly dense in E;*
- Θ-supported *if for each $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, the space $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$ is the closed linear span of all subspaces $\mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(E)$, where $\theta' \in \Theta$ and $\theta' \leq \theta$.*

The two conditions are related to each other as follows:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an inverse semigroup such that B is Θ-supported, and let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule. Then E is Θ-decomposable if and only if it is decomposable and Θ-supported.*

Proof. If E is decomposable and Θ-supported, then clearly E is Θ-decomposable. Conversely, assume that E is Θ-decomposable. Then E is decomposable, and we have to show that it is Θ-supported. For each $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, denote by $E^0_\theta \subseteq \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$ the closed linear span of the spaces $\mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(E)$, where $\theta' \in \Theta$ and $\theta' \leq \theta$. Then the family $(E_\theta)_\theta$ is linearly dense in E, and since B is Θ-supported, $E^0_\theta \mathscr{H}_\sigma(B) \subseteq E^0_{\theta\sigma}$ for all $\theta, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. Now, [15, Proposition 3.15] implies $E^0_\theta = \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$ for all $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. ☐

Let us describe a natural inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ for which B is Θ-supported.

Definition 4.3. *A partial automorphism θ of a C^*-algebra B is* (separable) inner *if there exist a (separable) ideal $I \subseteq Z(B)$ and a unitary $u \in M(IB)$ such that $\mathrm{Dom}(\theta) = IB = \mathrm{Im}(\theta)$ and $\theta(b) = ubu^*$ for all $b \in IB$. In that case, we write $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}_u$. We denote by $\mathrm{PInn}_{(\mathrm{sep})}(B) \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ the subset of all (separable) inner partial automorphisms of B.*

Lemma 4.4. $\mathrm{PInn}(B)$ *and* $\mathrm{PInn}_{\mathrm{sep}}(B)$ *are inverse subsemigroups of* $\mathrm{PAut}(B)$.

Proof. Let $I, J \subseteq Z(B)$ be ideals and let $u \in M(IB), v \in M(JB)$ be unitaries. Then $(\mathrm{Ad}_u)^* = \mathrm{Ad}_{u^*} \in \mathrm{PInn}(B)$. Since $I \cap J \subseteq Z(IB \cap JB)$, the unitaries u, v restrict to unitaries $u', v' \in M(IB \cap JB)$. Now, $\mathrm{Ad}_u \circ \mathrm{Ad}_v = \mathrm{Ad}_{u'v'} \in \mathrm{PInn}(B)$. If I and J are separable, then also $I \cap J$ is separable. ☐

Proposition 4.5. *The right C^*-B-B-bimodule B is $\mathrm{PInn}_{\mathrm{sep}}(B)$-supported.*

Proof. By [15, Proposition 3.19 (iii)], there exists for each $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B)$ a $\theta' \in \mathrm{PInn}_{\mathrm{sep}}(B)$ such that $\theta' \leq \theta$ and $b \in \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(B)$. $\square$

The definition of Θ-admissible C^*-bimodules and the construction of the monoidal functor involve several ket-bra operators associated to homogeneous elements. Let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule, $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, and $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$, $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B)$. By [15, Propositions 3.12, 3.21], there exist homogeneous operators

$$l(\xi) = |\xi\rangle \in \mathscr{L}^\theta_{\mathrm{id}}(B, E), \quad r(\xi) = |\xi] \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\theta^*}(B, E), \quad l_E(b) \in \mathscr{L}^\theta_{\mathrm{id}}(E), \quad r_E(b) \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\theta^*}(E)$$

such that for all $b' \in B$ and $\xi' \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} l(\xi)b' &= \xi b', & r(\xi)b' &= b'\xi, & l_E(b)\xi' &= b\xi', & r_E(b)\xi' &= \xi' b, \\ l(\xi)^*\xi' &= \langle \xi|\xi'\rangle, & r(\xi)^*\xi' &= \theta(\langle \xi|\xi'\rangle), & l_E(b)^*\xi' &= b^*\xi', & r_E(b)^*\xi' &= \xi' b^*. \end{aligned}$$

We define families

$$l(\mathscr{H}(E)) \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(B, E), \qquad r(\mathscr{H}(E)) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(B, E), \tag{3}$$

such that $l(\mathscr{H}(E))^\theta_{\mathrm{id}} = l(\mathscr{H}_\theta(E))$ and $r(\mathscr{H}(E))^{\mathrm{id}}_\theta = r(\mathscr{H}_{\theta^*}(E))$ for each $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. Similarly, we define families $l_E(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(E)$ and $r_E(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(E)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule. Then*

$$\begin{gathered} r(\xi)r(b) = r(b\xi), \quad r_E(b)r(\xi) = r(\xi b), \quad r(\xi)l(b) = l_E(b)r(\xi), \quad r(\xi)^*r(\xi') = r(r(\xi)^*\xi'), \\ l(\xi)l(b) = l(\xi b), \quad l_E(b)l(\xi) = l(b\xi), \quad l(\xi)r(b) = r_E(b)l(\xi), \quad l(\xi)^*l(\xi') = l(l(\xi)^*\xi'), \\ Sl(\xi) = l(S\xi), \qquad Tr(\xi) = r(T\xi), \end{gathered}$$

for all homogeneous $b \in B, \xi, \xi' \in E$ and $S \in \mathscr{L}^\theta_{\mathrm{id}}(E)$, $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_\theta(E)$, $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. Put $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{H}(E)$ and $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$. If B is decomposable, then

$$\begin{aligned} &[r(\mathscr{E})r(\mathscr{B})] = r(\mathscr{E}) = [r_E(\mathscr{B})r(\mathscr{E})], \quad [r(\mathscr{E})^*r(\mathscr{E})] \subseteq r(\mathscr{B}), \quad [\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(E)r(\mathscr{E})] = r(\mathscr{E}), \\ &[l(\mathscr{E})l(\mathscr{B})] = l(\mathscr{E}) = [l_E(\mathscr{B})l(\mathscr{E})], \quad [l(\mathscr{E})^*l(\mathscr{E})] \subseteq l(\mathscr{B}), \quad [\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(E)l(\mathscr{E})] = l(\mathscr{E}). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Proof. The first set of equations can be verified by straightforward calculations; we only prove $r(\xi)^*r(\xi') = r(\theta(\langle\xi|\xi'\rangle))$: for all $b \in B$,

$$r(\xi)^*r(\xi')b = r(\xi)^*(b\xi') = (l_E(b^*)r(\xi))^*\xi' = (r(\xi)l(b^*))^*\xi' = b(r(\xi)^*\xi') = r(r(\xi)^*\xi')b.$$

The equations in (4) follow directly from the equations above, equation (2), and the fact that $Z(B) = \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{id}}(B) \subseteq B$ is nondegenerate [15, Proposition 3.20 (v)]. $\square$

To construct the monoidal functor, we shall apply the map $\boldsymbol{J}$ constructed in Section 2 to the families in (3). Then, the following condition on the inverse semigroup Θ turns out to be useful:

Definition 4.7. *We call an inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$* admissible *if B is Θ-supported, $\mathrm{id}_B \in \Theta$, and $\theta \wedge \theta' \in \Theta$ for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$.*

Definition 4.8. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. A right C^*-B-B-bimodule E is* Θ-admissible *if it is Θ-decomposable and*

$$[l(\mathscr{H}(E))^*l(\mathscr{H}(E))] = l(\mathscr{H}(B)), \qquad [r(\mathscr{H}(E))^*r(\mathscr{H}(E))] = r(\mathscr{H}(B)).$$

Proposition 4.2 immediately implies:

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup and let E be a Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule. Then the families in (3) are Θ-supported.* $\square$

The internal tensor product The category B-**bimod** of all right C^*-B-B-bimodules carries a monoidal structure, where B is the unit and for all objects E, F, G and all morphisms S, T,

- $E \odot F := E \obslash F$ and $S \odot T := S \obslash T$,
- $\alpha_{E,F,G} \colon (E \obslash F) \obslash G \to E \obslash (F \obslash G)$ is given by $(\eta \obslash \xi) \obslash \zeta \mapsto \eta \obslash (\xi \obslash \zeta)$,
- $l_E \colon B \obslash E \to E$, $r_E \colon E \obslash B \to E$ are given by $b \obslash \xi \mapsto b\xi$, $\xi \obslash b \mapsto \xi b$, respectively.

Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. We shall show that the internal tensor product of Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F is Θ-admissible again. The proof involves the following generalized ket-bra operators. By [15, Proposition 3.13], there exist for each $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$, $\eta \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(F)$ operators

$$|\xi\rangle_1 \in \mathscr{L}^{\theta}_{\mathrm{id}}(F, E \obslash F),\ |\xi\rangle_2 \in \mathscr{L}^{\theta}_{\mathrm{id}}(F, F \oslash E), \quad |\eta]_2 \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\theta^*}(E, E \obslash F),\ |\eta]_1 \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\theta^*}(E, F \oslash E)$$

such that for all $\xi' \in E$ and $\eta' \in F$

$$|\xi\rangle_1\eta' = \xi \obslash \eta', \quad |\xi\rangle_2\eta' = \eta' \oslash \xi, \quad |\eta]_2\xi' = \xi' \obslash \eta, \quad |\eta]_1\xi' = \eta \oslash \xi',$$
$$\langle\xi|_1(\xi' \obslash \eta') = \langle\xi|\xi'\rangle\eta' = \langle\xi|_2(\eta' \oslash \xi'), \quad [\eta|_2(\xi' \obslash \eta') = \xi'\theta(\langle\eta|\eta'\rangle) = [\eta|_1(\eta' \oslash \xi').$$

We define families

$$|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1 \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(F, E \obslash F), \qquad |\mathscr{H}(F)]_2 \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(E, E \obslash F) \tag{5}$$

such that $(|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1)^{\theta}_{\mathrm{id}} = |\mathscr{H}_\theta(E)\rangle_1$ and $(|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2)^{\mathrm{id}}_{\theta} = |\mathscr{H}_{\theta^*}(F)]_2$ for all $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let E and F be Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then also the right C^*-B-B-bimodule $E \obslash F$ is Θ-admissible. For each $\theta'' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, the space $\mathscr{H}_{\theta''}(E \obslash F)$ is the closed linear span of the subspaces $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E) \obslash \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(F)$, where $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ and $\theta\theta' \leq \theta''$, in particular,*

$$l(\mathscr{H}(E \obslash F)) = [|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1 l(\mathscr{H}(F))], \qquad r(\mathscr{H}(E \obslash F)) = [|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2 r(\mathscr{H}(E))]. \tag{6}$$

Proof. By [15, Proposition 3.17], the space $\mathscr{H}_{\theta''}(E \obslash F)$ is for each $\theta'' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ the closed linear span of the subspaces $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E) \obslash \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(F)$, where $\theta, \theta' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and $\theta\theta' \leq \theta''$. Equation (6) follows. Since E and F are Θ-supported, the same statement holds if we allow θ, θ' to take values in Θ only. Put $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{H}(E)$, $\mathscr{F} := \mathscr{H}(F)$, $\mathscr{G} := \mathscr{H}(E \obslash F)$, and $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$. Using Lemma 4.6 and the assumptions on E and F, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[l(\mathscr{G})^* l(\mathscr{G})] = [l(\mathscr{F})^* \langle\mathscr{E}|_1|\mathscr{E}\rangle_1 l(\mathscr{F})] &= [l(\mathscr{F})^* l_E(\mathscr{B}) l(\mathscr{F})] \\
&= [l(\mathscr{F})^* l(\mathscr{B}\mathscr{F})] = [l(\mathscr{F})^* l(\mathscr{F})] = l(\mathscr{B}), \\
[r(\mathscr{G})^* r(\mathscr{G})] = [r(\mathscr{E})^* [\mathscr{F}|_2|\mathscr{F}]_2 r(\mathscr{E})] &= [r(\mathscr{E})^* r_E(\mathscr{B}) r(\mathscr{E})] \\
&= [r(\mathscr{E})^* r([\mathscr{E}\mathscr{B}])] = [r(\mathscr{E})^* r(\mathscr{E})] = r(\mathscr{B}).
\end{aligned}$$ ☐

Remark 4.11. Given a right C^*-B-B-bimodule G and homogeneous elements $\zeta, \zeta' \in G$, define $[\zeta|\zeta'] := r(\zeta)^*\zeta' \in B$. Then for all right C^*-B-B-bimodules E, F and all homogeneous $\xi, \xi' \in E$, $\eta, \eta' \in F$, we have $[\xi \obslash \eta|\xi' \obslash \eta'] = r(\xi)^*[\eta|_2(\xi' \obslash \eta') = r(\xi)^*(\xi' r(\eta)^*\eta') = [\xi|\xi'[\eta|\eta']]$. This formula resembles the formula $\langle\xi \obslash \eta|\xi' \obslash \eta'\rangle = \langle\xi|\langle\eta|\eta'\rangle\xi'\rangle$ used in the definition of the internal tensor product of right C^*-bimodules and is the natural choice for the definition of the internal tensor product of *left* C^*-bimodules.

The main result of this subsection is:

Corollary 4.12. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. Then the full subcategory (B, Θ)-**bimod** of B-**bimod** that consists of all Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules is monoidal.* ☐

Remark 4.13. The flipped internal tensor product defines another monoidal structure on the category (B,Θ)-**bimod**, where B is the unit again and for all objects E, F, G and all morphisms S, T,

- $E \odot^{\mathrm{flip}} F := E \olessthan F$ and $S \odot^{\mathrm{flip}} T := S \olessthan T$,
- $\alpha^{\mathrm{flip}}_{E,F,G} \colon (E \olessthan F) \olessthan G \to E \olessthan (F \olessthan G)$ is given by $(\eta \olessthan \xi) \olessthan \zeta \mapsto \eta \olessthan (\xi \olessthan \zeta)$,
- $l^{\mathrm{flip}}_E \colon B \olessthan E \to E$, $r^{\mathrm{flip}}_E \colon E \olessthan B \to E$ are given by $b \olessthan \xi \mapsto \xi b$, $\xi \olessthan b \mapsto b\xi$, respectively.

Denote this monoidal category by (B,Θ)-$\mathbf{bimod}^{\mathrm{flip}}$.

4.2 The monoidal category of C^*-bimodules over a C^*-base

The theory developed in [12, 13] is based on the notion of a C^*-bimodule over a C^*-base, which in turn is based on the notion of a C^*-factorization.

Definition ([13]). *A* C^*-base *is a triple* $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$*, shortly written* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*, consisting of a Hilbert space* $\mathfrak{H}$ *and two commuting nondegenerate* C^**-algebras* $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Let H *be a Hilbert space and* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ *a* C^**-base. A* C^*-factorization *of* H *with respect to* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ *is a closed subspace* $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}, H)$ *satisfying* $[\alpha^*\alpha] = \mathfrak{B}$*,* $[\alpha\mathfrak{B}] = \alpha$*, and* $[\alpha\mathfrak{H}] = H$*. We denote the set of all such* C^**-factorizations by* $\mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger})$.

Let α be a C^*-factorization of a Hilbert space H with respect to a C^*-base ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$. Then α is a concrete C^*-module and a full right C^*-module over $\mathfrak{B}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle := \xi^*\xi'$. Moreover, we can identify $\alpha \ogreaterthan \mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{H} \olessthan \alpha$ with H via the unitaries

$$m_\alpha \colon \alpha \ogreaterthan \mathfrak{H} \to H,\ \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \mapsto \xi\zeta, \qquad m^{op}_\alpha \colon \mathfrak{H} \olessthan \alpha \to H,\ \zeta \olessthan \xi \mapsto \xi\zeta, \tag{7}$$

and there exists a nondegenerate and faithful representation $\rho_\alpha \colon \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that

$$\rho_\alpha(b^\dagger)\xi\zeta = \xi b^\dagger \zeta \quad \text{for all } b^\dagger \in \mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\ \xi \in \alpha,\ \zeta \in \mathfrak{H};$$

see [13, Subsection 2.1].

Let β be a C^*-factorization of a Hilbert space K with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$. We put

$$\mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H,K) \mid T\alpha \subseteq \beta,\ T^*\beta \subseteq \alpha\}.$$

Each $T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta)$ defines an operator $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha, \beta)$ by $\xi \mapsto T\xi$ with adjoint $\eta \mapsto T^*\eta$. Moreover, the relation $T\rho_\alpha(b^\dagger)\xi\zeta = T\xi b^\dagger\zeta = \rho_\beta(b^\dagger)T\xi\zeta$, valid for all $\xi \in \alpha, \zeta \in \mathfrak{H}$ implies

$$T\rho_\alpha(b^\dagger) = \rho_\beta(b^\dagger)T \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta), b^\dagger \in \mathfrak{B}^\dagger. \tag{8}$$

Let ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$ be a C^*-base. We call a C^*-factorization $\beta \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger})$ *compatible* with α, written $\alpha \perp \beta$, if $\big[\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\beta\big] = \beta$ and $\big[\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{C}^\dagger)\alpha\big] = \alpha$. In that case, $\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$ and $\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{C}^\dagger) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$; in particular, these C^*-algebras commute.

Definition 4.14. *Let* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ *and* ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$ *be* C^**-bases. A* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$-bimodule *is a triple* (H, β, α)*, briefly denoted by* ${}_\beta H_\alpha$*, consisting of a Hilbert space* H *and compatible* C^**-factorizations* $\alpha \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger})$ *and* $\beta \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}})$.

Let ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ and ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$ be C^*-bases as before. Moreover, let H and K be Hilbert spaces with C^*-factorizations $\alpha \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger})$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(K; {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}})$. The C^**-relative tensor product* of H and K with respect to α and δ is the Hilbert space

$$H {}_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\delta K := \alpha \ogreaterthan {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \olessthan \delta.$$

The unitaries (7) induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\delta} K & H {}_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\delta K \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan m^{op}_\delta"'] \arrow[r, "m_\alpha \olessthan \mathrm{id}"] & H {}_{\rho_\alpha}\!\olessthan \beta, \\
\xi \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\delta} \eta\zeta & \xi \ogreaterthan_{\mathfrak{B}} \zeta {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\!\olessthan \eta \arrow[l, mapsto] \arrow[r, mapsto] & \xi\zeta {}_{\rho_\alpha}\!\olessthan \eta.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

Using these isomorphisms, we define for each $\xi \in \alpha$ and $\eta \in \delta$ two pairs of adjoint operators

$$|\xi\rangle_1 \colon K \to H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K,\ \zeta \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \omega, \qquad \langle\xi|_1 := |\xi\rangle_1^* \colon \xi' \ogreaterthan \omega \mapsto \rho_{\delta}(\langle\xi|\xi'\rangle)\omega,$$
$$|\eta\rangle_2 \colon H \to H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K,\ \omega \mapsto \omega \olessthan \eta, \qquad \langle\eta|_2 := |\eta\rangle_2^* \colon \omega \olessthan \eta \mapsto \rho_{\alpha}(\langle\eta|\eta'\rangle)\omega.$$

We put $|\alpha\rangle_1 := \big\{|\xi\rangle_1 \,\big|\, \xi \in \alpha\big\}$ and similarly define $\langle\alpha|_1$, $|\delta\rangle_2$, $\langle\delta|_2$.

For each C^*-factorization $\beta \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger})$ that is compatible with α, the space

$$\beta \lhd \delta := [|\delta\rangle_2\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}, H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K)$$

is a C^*-factorization of $H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K$ with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$; likewise, for each C^*-factorization $\gamma \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger})$ that is compatible with γ, the space

$$\alpha \rhd \gamma := [|\alpha\rangle_1\gamma] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}, H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K)$$

is a C^*-factorization of $H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K$ with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$ [13, Proposition 2.7].

Let L and M be Hilbert spaces with C^*-factorizations $\beta \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(L; {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger})$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{C}^*\text{-fact}(M; {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}})$. Then there exists for each $S \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\alpha}, L_{\beta})$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(K_{\delta}, M_{\gamma})$ an operator

$$S \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} T := S_{\alpha} \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id} \olessthan T_{\delta} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K, L_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}M).$$

The class of all C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger}$-bimodules forms a category with respect to the morphisms

$$\mathcal{L}({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha}, {}_{\delta}K_{\gamma}) := \mathcal{L}(H_{\alpha}, K_{\gamma}) \cap \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta}, K_{\delta}),$$

as one can easily verify. If ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\dagger} = {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$, we denote this category by ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-**bimod**.

Theorem 4.15. *The category* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-**bimod** *carries a structure of a monoidal category, where* ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ *is the unit and for all objects* ${}_{\beta}H_{\alpha}, {}_{\delta}K_{\gamma}, {}_{\phi}L_{\epsilon}$ *and all morphisms* S, T*,*

- ${}_{\beta}H_{\alpha} \odot {}_{\delta}K_{\gamma} = {}_{\beta}H_{\alpha} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{\delta}K_{\gamma} := {}_{\beta\lhd\delta}(H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K)_{\alpha\rhd\gamma}$ *and* $S \odot T = S \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} T$*,*
- $\alpha_{({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha}, {}_{\delta}K_{\gamma}, {}_{\phi}L_{\epsilon})}$ *is the composition of the isomorphism*

$$(H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K)_{(\alpha\rhd\gamma)}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\phi}L \xrightarrow{m_{(\alpha\rhd\gamma)}\olessthan\mathrm{id}} (H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\delta}K)_{\rho_{(\alpha\rhd\gamma)}}\olessthan\phi \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}\ogreaterthan m_{\delta}^{op})\olessthan\mathrm{id}} \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\delta}} K_{\rho_{\gamma}}\olessthan\phi \tag{10}$$

with the inverse of the isomorphism

$$H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{(\delta\lhd\phi)}(K_{\gamma}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\phi}L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\ogreaterthan m_{(\delta\lhd\phi)}^{op}} \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{(\delta\lhd\phi)}} (K_{\gamma}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\phi}L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\ogreaterthan(m_{\gamma}\olessthan\mathrm{id})} \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\delta}} K_{\rho_{\gamma}}\olessthan\phi, \tag{11}$$

- $l_{({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha})}$ *and* $r_{({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha})}$ *are given by the compositions*

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H \xrightarrow{m_{\mathfrak{B}}\olessthan\mathrm{id}} \mathfrak{H}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{B}}}\olessthan\beta \xrightarrow{m_{\beta}^{op}} H, \qquad H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\ogreaterthan m_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}} \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}} \mathfrak{H} \xrightarrow{m_{\alpha}} H.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Remark 4.16. More explicitly, the isomorphisms (10) and (11) are given by

$$[|\alpha\rangle_1\gamma] \ogreaterthan {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \olessthan \phi \to \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\delta}} K_{\rho_{\gamma}}\olessthan\phi, \qquad \alpha \ogreaterthan {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \olessthan [|\phi\rangle_2\delta] \to \alpha \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\delta}} K_{\rho_{\gamma}}\olessthan\phi,$$
$$|\xi\rangle_1\eta \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \vartheta \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta\zeta \olessthan \vartheta, \qquad \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan |\vartheta\rangle_2\eta' \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta'\zeta \olessthan \vartheta,$$

respectively, and $l_{({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha})}$ and $r_{({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha})}$ are given by

$$\mathfrak{B} \ogreaterthan {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \olessthan \beta \to H,\ b \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \xi \mapsto \xi b\zeta, \qquad \alpha \ogreaterthan {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \olessthan \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \to H,\ \eta \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan b^\dagger \mapsto \eta b^\dagger\zeta.$$

4.3 The monoidal functor

We fix the following data:

- a decomposable C^*-algebra B with an admissible inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$,
- a covariant representation (π, υ) of (B, Θ) on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K}$ (see Section 3), where π is faithful.

We define $\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ as in Section 3, and put

$$\mathfrak{H} := \boldsymbol{I}B, \qquad \mathfrak{B} := \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}), \qquad \mathfrak{B}^\dagger := \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Proposition 4.17. *${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ is a C^*-base.*

Proof. Put $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$. By assumption on Θ, the families $l(\mathscr{B})$ and $r(\mathscr{B})$ are Θ-supported. By Proposition 3.4, $[\mathfrak{B}^*\mathfrak{B}] = \boldsymbol{J}[l(\mathscr{B})^*l(\mathscr{B})] = \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{B}) = \mathfrak{B}$ and $[(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)^*\mathfrak{B}^\dagger] = \boldsymbol{J}[r(\mathscr{B})^*r(\mathscr{B})] = \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{B}) = \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$, so $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ are C^*-algebras. They commute because they are the closed linear span of operators of the form $\boldsymbol{I}l(b)$ and $\boldsymbol{I}r(c)$, respectively, where $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B), c \in \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(B)$ for some $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ and $\boldsymbol{I}(l(b))\boldsymbol{I}(r(c)) = \boldsymbol{I}(l(b)r(c)) = \boldsymbol{I}(r(c)l(b)) = \boldsymbol{I}(l(b))\boldsymbol{I}(r(c))$ for all such b, c by Proposition 3.2. Finally, $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ act nondegenerately on $\mathfrak{H}$ because the inclusion $Z(B) = \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{id}}(B) \subseteq B$ is nondegenerate [15, Proposition 3.20 (v)] and $Z(B) \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathrm{id} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ acts nondegenerately on $B \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H}$. ☐

We construct a functor from the category of Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules to the category of C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-bimodules. First, we assign to every Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule a right C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-bimodule:

Proposition 4.18. *Let E be a Θ-admissible C^*-bimodule over B. Then*

$$\beta(E) := \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{H}(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{I}E) \quad \textit{and} \quad \alpha(E) := \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}, \boldsymbol{I}E)$$

are compatible C^*-factorizations of $\boldsymbol{I}E$ with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$, respectively. The representations $\rho_{\beta(E)} \colon \mathfrak{B} \to \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E)$ and $\rho_{\alpha(E)} \colon \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \to \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E)$ are given by

$$\rho_{\beta(E)}(\boldsymbol{I}l(b)) = \boldsymbol{I}l_E(b) \quad \textit{and} \quad \rho_{\alpha(E)}(\boldsymbol{I}r(b)) = \boldsymbol{I}r_E(b) \tag{12}$$

for all $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B)$, $\theta \in \Theta$.

Proof. Put $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{H}(E)$ and $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$. First, we show that $\beta(E)$ is a C^*-factorization of $\boldsymbol{I}E$ with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Using equation (4) and the assumptions on E, we find

$$[\beta(E)^*\beta(E)] = \boldsymbol{J}[r(\mathscr{E})^*r(\mathscr{E})] = \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{B}) = \mathfrak{B}^\dagger, \quad [\beta(E)\mathfrak{B}^\dagger] = \boldsymbol{J}[r(\mathscr{E})r(\mathscr{B})] = \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{E}) = \beta(E),$$
$$[\beta(E)\mathfrak{H}] = \overline{\sum_{\theta\in\Theta}} r(\mathscr{E}_\theta)B \ogreaterthan_\pi \upsilon(\theta)\mathfrak{K} \supseteq \overline{\sum_{\theta\in\Theta}} \mathscr{E}_\theta \ogreaterthan_\pi \pi(\mathrm{Im}(\theta))\mathfrak{K} = \overline{\sum_{\theta\in\Theta}} \mathscr{E}_\theta \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathfrak{K} = E \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathfrak{K}.$$

Therefore, $\beta(E)$ is a C^*-factorization as claimed. By definition of $\beta(E)$ and $\rho_{\beta(E)}$, Lemma 4.6, and Proposition 3.2, $\rho_{\beta(E)}(\boldsymbol{I}l(b))\boldsymbol{I}r(\xi) = \boldsymbol{I}(r(\xi))\boldsymbol{I}(l(b)) = \boldsymbol{I}(l_E(b))\boldsymbol{I}(r(\xi))$ for all $b \in B$, $\xi \in \mathscr{E}_\theta$, $\theta \in \Theta$. This calculation proves the formula for $\rho_{\beta(E)}$ in (12).

Similar calculations show that $\alpha(E)$ is a C^*-factorization of $\boldsymbol{I}E$ with respect to ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$, and that $\rho_{\alpha(E)}$ is given by the formula in (12).

Finally, by equation (12), Proposition 3.4, and Lemma 4.6,

$$[\rho_{\alpha(E)}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\beta(E)] = \boldsymbol{J}[r_E(\mathscr{B})r(\mathscr{E})] = \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{E}) = \beta(E),$$
$$[\rho_{\beta(E)}(\mathfrak{B})\alpha(E)] = \boldsymbol{J}[l_E(\mathscr{B})l(\mathscr{E})] = \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{E}) = \alpha(E),$$

so $\alpha(E)$ and $\beta(E)$ are compatible. ☐

Remark 4.19. Let E be a right C^*-module over B. Then for each $\xi \in E$, there exists an operator $l(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}_B(B, E)$ such that $l(\xi)b = b$ for all $b \in B$. One easily verifies that the map $B \to \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}B)$ given by $b \mapsto l(b) \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathrm{id}$ defines an isomorphism $\Phi_B \colon B \to \mathfrak{B}$ of C^*-algebras. Let E be an admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule and identify B with $\mathfrak{B}$ via Φ_B. Then the map $E \to \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}B, \boldsymbol{I}E)$ given by $\xi \mapsto l(\xi) \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathrm{id}$ defines an isomorphism $\Phi_E \colon E \to \alpha(E)$ of C^*-modules over $B \cong \mathfrak{B}$.

The next step is to consider morphisms of right C^*-B-B-bimodules:

Proposition 4.20. *Let E and F be Θ-admissible C^*-bimodules over B. Then the assignment $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{I}T$ defines a bijection of $\mathcal{L}^B_B(E, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, {}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}\big)$.*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}^B_B(E, F)$. We claim that $\boldsymbol{I}T \in \mathcal{L}\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, {}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}\big)$. Indeed, since $T\mathscr{H}_\theta(E) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_\theta(F)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{I}(T)\alpha(E) &\subseteq \boldsymbol{J}[Tl(\mathscr{H}(E))] \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(F)) = \alpha(F), \\ \boldsymbol{I}(T)\beta(E) &\subseteq \boldsymbol{J}[Tr(\mathscr{H}(E))] \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{H}(F)) = \beta(F), \end{aligned}$$

and similar calculations show that $\boldsymbol{I}(T)^*\alpha(F) \subseteq \alpha(E)$ and $\boldsymbol{I}(T)^*\beta(F) \subseteq \beta(E)$.

Since π is faithful, the assignment $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{I}T = T \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathrm{id}$ is injective.

Finally, let $S \in \mathcal{L}\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, {}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}\big)$. We show that $S = \boldsymbol{I}T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{L}^B_B(E, F)$. Since $S\alpha(E) \subseteq \alpha(F)$ and $S^*\alpha(F) \subseteq \alpha(E)$, the operator S defines an operator $S_{\alpha(E)} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha(E), \alpha(F))$ via $\omega \mapsto S\omega$. Put $T := \Phi_F^{-1} S_{\alpha(E)} \Phi_E \in \mathcal{L}_B(E, F)$, where Φ_F and Φ_E are as in Remark 4.19. By Lemma 4.6,

$$\boldsymbol{I}(T)\boldsymbol{I}(l(\xi)) = \boldsymbol{I}(Tl(\xi)) = \boldsymbol{I}(l(T\xi)) = S\boldsymbol{I}l(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(E), \theta \in \Theta.$$

Since $[\boldsymbol{J}(l(\mathscr{H}(E)))\mathfrak{H}] = \boldsymbol{I}E$, we can conclude $\boldsymbol{I}T = S$. The assumption on S and equation (8) imply that for all $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B)$, $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\boldsymbol{I}(Tl_E(b)) = \boldsymbol{I}(T)\boldsymbol{I}(l_E(b)) = S\rho_{\beta(E)}(\boldsymbol{I}l(b)) = \rho_{\beta(F)}(\boldsymbol{I}l(b))S = \boldsymbol{I}(l_F(b))\boldsymbol{I}(T) = \boldsymbol{I}(l_F(b)T).$$

Since π is injectve and B is Θ-decomposable, we can conclude $T \in \mathcal{L}^B_B(E, F)$. ☐

Corollary 4.21. *There exists a full and faithful functor*

$$(B, \Theta)\text{-}\mathbf{bimod} \to {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\text{-}\mathbf{bimod}$$

defined on objects by $E \mapsto {}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}$ and on morphisms by $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{I}T$. ☐

We show that the functor constructed above is monoidal. Let E and F be Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\tau_{E,F} \colon \boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan m^{op}_{\beta(F)}} \alpha(E) \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\beta(F)}} \boldsymbol{I}(F) \xrightarrow{\Phi_E^{-1} \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}} E \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathfrak{K} = \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan F),$$

where $\Phi_E \colon E \to \alpha(E)$ denotes the isomorphism $\xi \mapsto l(\xi) \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathrm{id}$, see Remark 4.19. Explicitly, $\tau_{E,F}$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{I}l(\xi) \ogreaterthan (b \ogreaterthan_\pi \zeta) \olessthan \boldsymbol{I}r(\eta) \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan b\eta \ogreaterthan_\pi \upsilon(\theta')\zeta$$

for all $b \ogreaterthan_\pi \zeta \in \mathfrak{H}$, $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(E)$, $\eta \in \mathscr{H}_{\theta'}(F)$, $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$. Clearly, $\tau_{E,F}$ is natural in E and F.

Recall the families $|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1 \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{id}}(F, E \ogreaterthan F)$ and $|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2 \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}(E, E \ogreaterthan F)$ defined before Proposition 4.10. Straightforward calculations show

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{E,F}|\alpha(E)\rangle_1 &= \boldsymbol{J}|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}F, \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan F)), \\ \tau_{E,F}|\beta(F)\rangle_2 &= \boldsymbol{J}|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E, \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan F)). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proposition 4.22. *Let E and F be Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then*

$$\tau_{E,F}(\alpha(E) \triangleright \alpha(F)) = \alpha(E \ogreaterthan F), \qquad \tau_{E,F}(\beta(E) \triangleleft \beta(F)) = \beta(E \ogreaterthan F).$$

Proof. Put $G := E \ogreaterthan F$. By equation (13) and Propositions 3.4, 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{E,F}(\alpha(E) \triangleright \alpha(F)) &= \tau_{E,F}[|\alpha(E)\rangle_1 \alpha(F)] = \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1 l(\mathscr{H}(F))] = \boldsymbol{J} l(\mathscr{H}(G)) = \alpha(G),\\ \tau_{E,F}(\beta(E) \triangleleft \beta(F)) &= \tau_{E,F}[|\beta(F)\rangle_2 \beta(E)] = \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2 r(\mathscr{H}(E))] = \boldsymbol{J} r(\mathscr{H}(G)) = \beta(G). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Recall that by definition, ${}_{\beta(B)}(\boldsymbol{I}B)_{\alpha(B)} = {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Theorem 4.23. *The full and faithful functor (B,Θ)-**bimod** $\to$ ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-**bimod** together with the natural transformation τ defined above and the identity $\epsilon := \mathrm{id}_{{}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}}$ is a monoidal functor.*

Proof. The main step is Proposition 4.22; the rest is straightforward but tedious. $\square$

For later use, we note the following analogue of proposition 4.22:

Proposition 4.24. *Let E be a right C^*-module over B, let F be Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule, and let $\pi\colon B \to \mathcal{L}^B_B(F)$ be a nondegenerate representation. Then $E \ogreaterthan_\pi F$ is a Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule with respect to the left multiplication given by $b(\xi \ogreaterthan_\pi \eta) = \xi \ogreaterthan_\pi b\eta$ for all $b \in B$, $\xi \in E$, $\eta \in F$, and*

$$\alpha(E \ogreaterthan_\pi F) = \boldsymbol{J}[|E\rangle_1 l(F)], \qquad \beta(E \ogreaterthan_\pi F) = \boldsymbol{J}[|E\rangle_1 r(F)].$$

Proof. This follows from similar calculations as in the proof of Proposition 4.22 and from the relation $\mathscr{H}_\theta(E \ogreaterthan_\pi F) = E \ogreaterthan_\pi \mathscr{H}_\theta(F)$, which holds for all $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ [15, Proposition 3.18]. $\square$

5 From C^*-families to C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras

In this section, we extend $\boldsymbol{J}$ to a functor from the category of generalized C^*-algebras, more precisely, the Θ-admissible C^*-families used in [11, 15], to the category of concrete C^*-algebras over C^*-bases used in [12, 13]. Moreover, we show that this functor embeds the fiber product of C^*-families into the fiber product of C^*-algebras.

5.1 The monoidal category of Θ-admissible C^*-families

To define the legs of a pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the form of Hopf C^*-bimodules, we introduced in [11, 15] a monoidal category of generalized C^*-algebras: C^*-families consisting of homogeneous operators on C^*-bimodules, morphisms of such C^*-families, and fiber products of C^*-families and morphisms. In the following paragraphs, we recall these concepts and introduce the class of normal morphisms. As before, let B be a fixed C^*-algebra.

Definition ([15])**.** *Let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule. A* C^*-family *on E is a family of closed subspaces $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E)$ satisfying $[\mathscr{C}^*\mathscr{C}] = \mathscr{C}$. We call such a C^*-family $\mathscr{C}$* nondegenerate *if $[\mathscr{C}E] = E$, and define its* multiplier C^*-family *$\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E)$ by*

$$\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})^\rho_\sigma := \{T \in \mathscr{L}^\rho_\sigma(E) \mid [T\mathscr{C}], [\mathscr{C}T] \subseteq \mathscr{C}\} \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \mathrm{PAut}(B), \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B).$$

Let E be a right C^*-B-B-bimodule. Then the family $\mathscr{O}(E) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E)$ given by

$$\mathscr{O}(E)^\rho_\sigma := [l_E(\mathscr{H}_\rho(B)) r_E(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma^*}(B))] \quad \text{for all } \rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$$

is a C^*-family [15, Proposition 3.21].

Definition 5.1. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. A C^*-family $\mathscr{C}$ on a right C^*-B-B-bimodule E is* Θ-admissible *if E is Θ-admissible, $\mathscr{C}$ is nondegenerate and Θ-supported, $[\mathscr{C}\mathscr{O}(E)] \subseteq \mathscr{C}$, and $[\mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}\mathscr{O}^{\rho^*\rho}_{\sigma^*\sigma}(E)] = \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$ for all $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$.*

Remark 5.2. Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup and E a Θ-admissible right C^*-B-B-bimodule. Then the C^*-family $\mathscr{O}(E)$ is Θ-admissible, as one can easily check.

The following C^*-family will turn out to be the unit for the fiber product:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. Put $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$.*

i) Let $\rho, \sigma, \theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, $a \in \mathscr{B}_{\rho}$, $c \in \mathscr{B}_{\sigma^*}$, $d \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}$. Then the map

$$k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} \colon B \to B, \; b \mapsto \theta(abcd),$$

is a $(\theta\rho, \theta\sigma)$-homogeneous operator.

ii) The family $\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(B)$ given by

$$\mathscr{K}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(B;\Theta) := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} \mid a \in \mathscr{B}_{\rho}, b \in \mathscr{B}_{\sigma^*}, d \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}, \rho, \sigma, \theta \in \Theta, \theta\rho \leq \rho', \theta\sigma \leq \sigma'\}$$

is a Θ-admissible C^*-family.

Proof. For all $\theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and $d \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}$, define $s^{\theta,d} \colon B \to B$ by $b \mapsto \theta(bd)$.

i) Since $k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} = s^{\theta,d} \circ l(a)r(c)$, it suffices to prove $s^{\theta,d} \in \mathscr{L}^{\theta}_{\theta}(B)$. Evidently, $\mathrm{Im}\, s^{\theta,d} \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\theta)B$ and $s^{\theta,d}(b'b) = \theta(b')s^{\theta,d}(b)$ for all $b' \in \mathrm{Dom}(\theta)$, $b \in B$. Moreover, for all $b, b' \in B$,

$$\langle b|s^{\theta,d}b'\rangle = b^*\theta(b'd) = \theta(\theta^*(b^*\theta(d))b') = \theta(\theta^*(b\theta(d^*))^*b') = \theta(\langle s^{\theta^*,\theta(d^*)}b|b'\rangle);$$

here, we used the fact that d is central. Note that $\theta(d^*) \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta\theta^*}$ by [15, Proposition 3.20].

ii) Let a, c, d as in i), where $\rho, \sigma, \theta \in \Theta$. Write $d = d_a d' d_c$ with $d_a, d', d_c \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}$ and put $a' := \theta^*(a\theta(d_a))$ and $c' := \theta^*(\theta(d_c)c)$. Then $a' \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\rho\theta}$, $c' \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\sigma^*\theta}$, and

$$a\theta(bd)c = a\theta(d_a)\theta(bd')\theta(d_c)c = \theta(a'bd'c') \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$

Therefore, $l(a)r(c) \circ s^{\theta,d} = s^{\theta,d'} \circ l(a')r(c')$. Combining this observation with the results from (the proof of) i), we can conclude that $\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)$ is a C^*-family. By definition, $\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)$ is Θ-supported. Using the facts that B is Θ-decomposable, $\mathrm{id}_B \in \Theta$, and that $\mathscr{O}(B)$ is Θ-supported, one easily verifies that the C^*-family $\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)$ is nondegenerate and satisfies $[\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)\mathscr{O}(B)] = \mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)$.

Finally, if a, b, c, d and d_a, d', d_c are as above, then $k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} = k^{\theta,d'}_{d_a a, c d_c} = s^{\theta,d'} \circ l(d_a a)r(c d_c)$ and $l(d_a a)r(c d_c) \in \mathscr{O}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(B)$, where $\rho' = \theta^*\theta\rho$ and $\sigma' = \theta^*\theta\sigma$. By [15, Remark 3.9 (ii)], $\mathscr{O}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(B) = [\mathscr{O}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(B)\mathscr{O}^{\rho'^*\rho'}_{\sigma'^*\sigma'}(B)]$, and hence

$$k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} \in [\mathscr{K}^{\theta\rho}_{\theta\sigma}(B;\Theta)\mathscr{O}^{\rho'^*\rho'}_{\sigma'^*\sigma'}(B)] = [\mathscr{K}^{\theta\rho}_{\theta\sigma}(B;\Theta)\mathscr{O}^{\rho^*\theta^*\theta\rho}_{\sigma^*\theta^*\theta\sigma}(B)].$$

Consequently, $\mathscr{K}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(B;\Theta) \subseteq [\mathscr{K}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(B;\Theta)\mathscr{O}^{\rho^*\rho}_{\sigma^*\sigma}(B)]$ for all $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$. □

The fiber product of C^*-families is defined as follows. Let E and F be right C^*-B-B-bimodules and let $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E)$ and $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F)$ be families of closed subspaces. We call two partial automorphisms $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ *compatible* and write $\rho \perp \sigma$ if $\rho\sigma^* \leq \mathrm{id}$ and $\rho^*\sigma \leq \mathrm{id}$. By [15, Proposition 5.3], there exists for all $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ satisfying $\sigma' \perp \rho'$ a map

$$\mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma'}(E) \times \mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma}(F) \to \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(E \ogreaterthan F), \quad (S,T) \mapsto S \ogreaterthan T,$$

where $(S \ogreaterthan T)(\xi \ogreaterthan \eta) = S\xi \ogreaterthan T\eta$ for all $S \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma'}(E)$, $T \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho'}_{\sigma}(F)$ and $\xi \in E$, $\eta \in F$.

We define a family of closed subspaces $\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E \ogreaterthan F)$ by

$$(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})^{\rho}_{\sigma} := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{c \ogreaterthan d \mid c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma'}, d \in \mathscr{D}^{\rho'}_{\sigma}, \sigma', \rho' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B) \text{ compatible}\}$$

for all $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. If $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are (nondegenerate) C^*-families, then so is $\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$, as one can easily check. Moreover, in that case, $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D}) \subseteq \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. If $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are Θ-admissible C^*-families, then so is $\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be Θ-admissible C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively, and put $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$. Then $E \ogreaterthan F$ is Θ-admissible by Proposition 4.10, $\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$ is nondegenerate and Θ-supported by construction and assumption of $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$, and $[(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})\mathscr{O}(E \ogreaterthan F)] = [\mathscr{C} l_E(\mathscr{B})] \ogreaterthan [\mathscr{D} r_F(\mathscr{B})] \subseteq \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$. Finally, by assumption on $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$,

$$[(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})^\rho_\sigma \mathscr{O}^{\rho^*\rho}_{\sigma^*\sigma}(E \ogreaterthan F)] = [(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})^\rho_\sigma (l_E(\mathscr{B}_{\rho^*\rho}) \ogreaterthan r_F(\mathscr{B}_{\sigma^*\sigma}))] = (\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})^\rho_\sigma$$

for each $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$. ☐

In [11, 15], we introduced a rather unwieldy notion of morphisms between C^*-families. For our purposes, it suffices to consider the following special class of morphisms:

Definition 5.5. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively. A* normal morphism *from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{D}$ is a family of maps $\phi^\rho_\sigma \colon \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma \to \mathscr{D}^\rho_\sigma$, given for all $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, such that $[I_\phi E] = F$, where*

$$I_\phi := \big\{ T \in \mathcal{L}^B_B(E, F) \,\big|\, Tc = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) T \text{ and } cT^* = T^* \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) \\ \text{for all } c \in \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma \text{ and } \rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B) \big\}. \quad (14)$$

A normal morphism ϕ is nondegenerate *if $[\phi(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{D}] = \mathscr{D}$, where $\phi(\mathscr{C}) = ([\phi^\rho_\sigma(\mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma)])_{\rho,\sigma}$.*

Evidently, the composition of normal morphisms is a normal morphism again. Moreover, normal morphisms preserve all structure maps:

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively, and let $\phi = (\phi^\rho_\sigma)_{\rho,\sigma}$ be a normal morphism from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{D}$.*

i) For all $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, $c, c'' \in \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma$, $c' \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi^\rho_\sigma(\lambda c + \mu c'') = \lambda \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) + \mu \phi^\rho_\sigma(c''), \quad \phi^\rho_\sigma(c)\phi^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(c') = \phi^{\rho\rho'}_{\sigma\sigma'}(cc'), \quad \big(\phi^\rho_\sigma(c)\big)^* = \phi^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(c^*),$$
$$\phi^\rho_\sigma(c) = \phi^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(c) \quad \text{if } \rho \leq \rho', \sigma \leq \sigma'.$$

In particular, $\phi^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \colon \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}$ is a $*$-homomorphism of C^*-algebras, and $\phi(\mathscr{C})$ is a C^*-family.

ii) If $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(E)$ is nondegenerate, then also $\phi(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F)$ is nondegenerate.

iii) If $[\mathscr{C}\mathscr{O}(E)] \subseteq \mathscr{C}$, then for all $\rho, \sigma, \theta \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, $c \in \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma$, $b \in \mathscr{H}_\theta(B)$,

$$\phi^\rho_{\sigma\theta^*}(c r_E(b)) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) r_F(b), \qquad \phi^\rho_{\sigma\theta}(c l_E(b)) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) l_F(b);$$

in particular, $[\phi(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{O}(F)] \subseteq \phi(\mathscr{C})$.

iv) If $\mathscr{C}$ and F are Θ-admissible, then $\phi(\mathscr{C})$ is Θ-admissible.

Proof. i) The equations follow from the facts that $[I_\phi E] = F$ and that for all $T \in I_\phi$,

$$\phi^\rho_\sigma(\lambda c + \mu c'')T = T(\lambda c + \mu c'') = \lambda T c + \mu T c'' = (\lambda \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) + \mu \phi^\rho_\sigma(c''))T,$$
$$\phi^{\rho\rho'}_{\sigma\sigma'}(cc')T = Tcc' = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) T c' = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c)\phi^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(c')T,$$
$$(\phi^\rho_\sigma(c))^* T = (T^*\phi^\rho_\sigma(c))^* = (cT^*)^* = Tc^* = \phi^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(c^*)T.$$

ii) Evident from the relation $[\phi(\mathscr{C})F] = [\phi(\mathscr{C}) I_\phi E] = [I_\phi \mathscr{C} E] = [I_\phi E] = F$.

iii) The equations follow from the facts that $[I_\phi E] = F$ and that for all $T \in I_\phi$,

$$\phi^\rho_{\sigma\theta^*}(c r_E(b))T = T c r_E(b) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) T r_E(b) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) r_F(b) T,$$
$$\phi^\rho_{\sigma\theta}(c l_E(b))T = T c l_E(b) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) T l_E(b) = \phi^\rho_\sigma(c) l_F(b) T.$$

iv) This follows easily from i)-iii). ☐

Normal morphisms are morphisms in the sense of [11, 15]:

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively, and let ϕ be a normal morphism from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{D}$. Then for each right C^*-$\mathbb{C}$-B-bimodule X and each right C^*-B-$\mathbb{C}$-bimodule Y, there exists a unique $*$-homomorphism*

$$\phi^X_Y \colon \big(\mathscr{L}(X) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{L}(Y)\big)^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \big(\mathscr{L}(X) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{L}(Y)\big)^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}$$

such that for all $U \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\sigma}(X)$, $c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}$, $V \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\mathrm{id}}(Y)$, $\sigma, \sigma', \rho, \rho' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, where $\sigma \perp \rho'$, $\sigma' \perp \rho$,

$$\phi^X_Y(U \ogreaterthan c \ogreaterthan V) = U \ogreaterthan \phi^{\rho'}_{\sigma'}(c) \ogreaterthan V. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Let X, Y as above, put $I := \mathrm{id}_X \ogreaterthan I_\phi \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}_Y$, and let $R \in \big(\mathscr{L}(X) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{L}(Y)\big)^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}$. Since every element of $I^*_\phi I_\phi$ commutes with every element of $\mathscr{C}$, every element of I^*I commutes with R, and $\langle S\upsilon | TR\omega\rangle = \langle \upsilon | S^*TR\omega\rangle = \langle \upsilon | RS^*T\omega\rangle = \langle SR^*\upsilon | T\omega\rangle$ for all $S, T \in I$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in X \ogreaterthan E \ogreaterthan Y$. By assumption on ϕ, elements of the form $S\upsilon$ and $T\omega$ as above are linearly dense in $X \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan Y$. Therefore, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^X_Y(R)^* \colon X \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan Y \to X \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan Y, &\quad S\upsilon \mapsto SR^*\upsilon, \\ \phi^X_Y(R) \colon X \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan Y \to X \ogreaterthan F \ogreaterthan Y, &\quad T\omega \mapsto TR\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $S, T \in I$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in X \ogreaterthan E \ogreaterthan Y$, are well-defined and form an adjoint pair of operators. By definition, equation (15) holds; in particular, $\phi^X_Y((\mathscr{L}(X) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{L}(Y))^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}) \subseteq (\mathscr{L}(X) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{L}(Y))^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}$. □

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be nondegenerate C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively, and let ϕ be a normal nondegenerate morphism from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$. Then ϕ extends uniquely to a normal morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ from $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof above. Let $R \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})^{\rho}_{\sigma}$, where $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. Since every element of $I^*_\phi I_\phi$ commutes with every element of $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ acts nondegenerately on E, every element of $I^*_\phi I_\phi$ commutes with R. Therefore, $\langle S\upsilon | TR\omega\rangle = \sigma(\langle SR^*\upsilon | T\omega\rangle)$ for all $S, T \in I_\phi$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in E$. Since $[I_\phi E] = E$, the maps

$$\tilde{\phi}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(R)^* \colon F \to F,\ S\upsilon \mapsto SR^*\upsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\phi}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(R) \colon F \to F,\ T \mapsto TR\omega,$$

where $S, T \in I_\phi$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in E$, are well-defined. One easily checks that $\tilde{\phi}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(R)^* \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(F)$ and $\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(R) \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(F)$.

Letting ρ, σ, R vary, we obtain a family of maps $(\tilde{\phi}^{\rho}_{\sigma})_{\rho,\sigma}$, where each $\tilde{\phi}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$ extends ϕ^{ρ}_{σ}. Since ϕ is nondegenerate, $[\tilde{\phi}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}))\mathscr{D}] = [\tilde{\phi}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}))\phi(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{D}] = [\tilde{\phi}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{C})\mathscr{D}] = [\phi(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{D}] = \mathscr{D}$, so that $\tilde{\phi}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})) \subseteq \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$. Clearly, $I_\phi \subseteq I_{\tilde{\phi}}$, whence $\tilde{\phi}$ is a normal morphism. □

The fiber product is functorial in the following sense:

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\phi \colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ and $\psi \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ be normal morphisms of C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then there exists a unique normal morphism $\phi \ogreaterthan \psi \colon \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{B} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$ such that*

$$(\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^{\rho}_{\sigma}(a \ogreaterthan c) = \phi^{\rho}_{\sigma'}(a) \ogreaterthan \phi^{\rho'}_{\sigma}(c) \tag{16}$$

for all $a \in \mathscr{A}^{\rho}_{\sigma'}$, $c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho'}_{\sigma}$, $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, where $\sigma' \perp \rho'$.

Proof. We follow the same scheme as in the proofs of Propositions 5.7 and 5.8. Denote by E and F the underlying C^*-bimodules of $\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{B} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$, respectively, and put $I := I_\phi \ogreaterthan I_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{L}^B_B(E,F)$.

Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and $R \in (\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C})^\rho_\sigma$. Since every element of I^*I commutes with R, we have $\langle Sv|TR\omega\rangle = \sigma(\langle SR^*v|T\omega\rangle)$ for all $S,T \in I$ and $v, \omega \in E$. Since $[IE] = F$ by assumption on ϕ and ψ, the maps

$$(\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma(R)^* \colon F \to F,\ Sv \mapsto SR^*v, \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma(R) \colon F \to F,\ T\omega \mapsto TR\omega,$$

are well-defined, and one easily checks that $(\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma(R)^* \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(F)$, $(\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma(R) \in \mathscr{L}^\rho_\sigma(F)$.

Letting ρ, σ, R vary, we obtain a family of maps $((\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma)_{\rho,\sigma}$. One readily verifies that equation (16) holds; in particular, the image of $(\phi \ogreaterthan \psi)^\rho_\sigma$ is contained in $\mathscr{B} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$. By definition, $I \subseteq I_{\phi \ogreaterthan \psi}$, whence $\phi \ogreaterthan \psi$ is a normal morphism. ☐

Let $\phi \colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B})$ and $\psi \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$ be nondegenerate normal morphism of nondegenerate C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules. Then we obtain a nondegenerate normal morphism

$$\phi \ogreaterthan \psi \colon \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B}) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}),$$

which we denote by $\phi \ogreaterthan \psi$ again.

Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ be an admissible inverse semigroup. We denote by (B,Θ)-**$\mathbf{C^*}$-family** the category whose objects are all Θ-admissible C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules and whose morphisms from a family $\mathscr{C}$ to a family $\mathscr{D}$ are all nondegenerate normal morphisms from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$.

Theorem 5.10. *The category* (B,Θ)-**$\mathbf{C^*}$-family** *carries a structure of a monoidal category, where* $\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta)$ *is the unit and for all* Θ*-admissible* C^**-families* $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}$ *on* C^**-*B*-*B*-bimodules* E, F, G *and all morphisms* ϕ, ψ,

- $\mathscr{C} \odot \mathscr{D} = \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}$ *and* $\phi \odot \psi = \phi \ogreaterthan \psi$,
- *the isomorphisms*

$$\alpha_{\mathscr{A},\mathscr{C},\mathscr{D}} \colon (\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C}) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan (\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}),$$
$$l_{\mathscr{C}} \colon \mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}, \qquad r_{\mathscr{C}} \colon \mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \to \mathscr{C}$$

are given by conjugation by the isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{E,F,G} \colon (E \ogreaterthan F) \ogreaterthan G \to E \ogreaterthan (G \ogreaterthan G), \quad l_E \colon B \ogreaterthan E \to E, \quad r_E \colon E \ogreaterthan B \to E.$$

Proof. Almost all details that have to be checked are straightforward; we only prove that for each Θ-admissible C^*-family $\mathscr{C}$ on a right C^*-B-B-bimodule E, the morphism $l_{\mathscr{C}}$ is an isomorphism. Put $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{H}(B)$.

Let $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$ and $x \in \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma$. Since $\mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma = [\mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma \mathscr{O}^{\rho^*\rho}_{\sigma^*\sigma}(E)]$, we can write $x = x' l_E(a)$ with $x' \in \mathscr{C}^\rho_\sigma$ and $a \in \mathscr{B}_{\rho^*\rho}$. Then the operator $s^{\rho,a} \colon B \to B$ given by $b \mapsto \rho(ba)$ belongs to $\mathscr{K}^\rho_\rho(B;\Theta)$, and

$$x l_E(b \ogreaterthan \xi) = xb\xi = x'ab\xi = \rho(ab)x'\xi = l_E(s^{\rho,a} b \ogreaterthan x'\xi) \quad \text{for all } b \in B, \xi \in E,$$

showing that $x = \mathrm{Ad}_{l_E}(s^{\rho,a} \ogreaterthan x') \in \mathrm{Ad}_{l_E}((\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C})^\rho_\sigma)$. Since $\mathscr{C}$ is Θ-supported, we can conclude $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}_{L_E}(\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C})$.

Conversely, let x be as above and let $\rho', \sigma', \theta \in \Theta$, $a \in \mathscr{B}_{\rho'}$, $c \in \mathscr{B}_{\sigma'^*}$, $d \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}$ such that $\rho \perp \theta\sigma'$. Write $d = d_a d_c$ with $d_a, d_c \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta^*\theta}$. Then $bcd_c = \sigma'(cd_c b)$ for all $b \in B$ by [15, Proposition 3.20], and hence

$$\begin{aligned} l_E(k^{\theta,d}_{a,c} b \ogreaterthan x\xi) = \theta(abcd)x\xi &= \theta(ad_a)\theta(\sigma'(cd_c b))x\xi \\ &= \theta(ad_a)xcd_c b\xi = l_E(\theta(ad_a))x l_E(cd_c) l_E(b \ogreaterthan \xi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in B$, $\xi \in E$. Since $[\mathscr{C}\mathscr{O}(E)] \subseteq \mathscr{C}$, $\theta(ad_a) \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta\rho'\theta^*}$, $cd_c \in \mathscr{B}_{\sigma'^*\theta^*\theta}$, and $\rho\sigma'^*\theta^*\theta \le \theta$, we have $l_E(\theta(ad_a))x l_E(cd_c) \in \mathscr{C}^{\theta\rho'\theta^*\rho\sigma'^*\theta^*\theta}_\sigma \subseteq \mathscr{C}^{\theta\rho'}_\sigma$. Consequently, $\mathrm{Ad}_{L_E}(\mathscr{K}(B;\Theta) \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C}) \subseteq \mathscr{C}$. ☐

5.2 The category of concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-algebras

In [13], we introduced a fiber product for certain C^*-algebras represented on C^*-bimodules, and used this construction to define reduced Hopf C^*-bimodules. Let us review the pertaining definitions. Throughout this subsection, let ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ be a C^*-base.

Definition ([12, 13])**.** *A* (nondegenerate) concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra (H, A, α), *briefly written* (H_α, A), *consists of a Hilbert space* H, *a (nondegenerate)* C^**-algebra* $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$, *and a* C^**-factorization* $\alpha \in \mathrm{C^*\text{-}fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger})$ *such that* $\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)A \subseteq A$. *If* (H_α, A) *is a nondegenerate concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-algebra, then* $A' \subseteq \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$.

Let (H_α, A) *and* (K_β, B) *be concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-algebras. A* morphism *from* (H_α, A) *to* (K_β, B) *is a* $*$*-homomorphism* $\pi\colon A \to B$ *such that* $\beta = [I_\pi \alpha]$, *where*

$$I_\pi := \bigl\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta) \,\big|\, \pi(a)T = Ta \textit{ for all } a \in A\bigr\}.$$

Assume that (K_β, B) *is nongegenerate, so that* $(K_\beta, M(B))$ *is a concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-algebra. We call a morphism* ϕ *from* (H_α, A) *to* $(K_\beta, M(B))$ nondegenerate *if* $[\phi(A)B] = B$.

The fiber product *of a concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-algebra* (H_α, A) *and a concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$*-algebra* (K_δ, B) *is the* C^**-algebra*

$$A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B := \bigl\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K) \,\big|\, T|\alpha\rangle_1, T^*|\alpha\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\alpha\rangle_1 B] \textit{ and } T|\delta\rangle_2, T^*|\delta\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\delta\rangle_2 A]\bigr\}.$$

Apart from special cases, we do not know whether the fiber product $A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B$ of a nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra (H_α, A) and a nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-algebra (K_δ, B) is nondegenerate again. If it is nondegenerate, then $M(A)_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\beta M(B) \subseteq M(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\beta B)$; see [12, Lemma 2.5].

Let ϕ be a morphism of nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras (H_α, A) and (L_γ, C), and let ψ be a morphism of nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-algebras (K_β, B) and (M_δ, D). Then there exists a unique $*$-homomorphism

$$\phi * \psi\colon A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B \to C_\gamma \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta D$$

such that $(\phi * \psi)(T) \cdot (X \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} Y) = (X \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} Y) \cdot T$ for all $T \in A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B$, $X \in I_\phi$, $Y \in I_\psi$; see [13, Proposition 3.13].

Let (H_α, A), (K_β, B) be nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras and let π be a nondegenerate morphism from (H_α, A) to $(K_\beta, M(B))$. Then the unique strictly continuous extension $\tilde{\pi}\colon M(A) \to M(B)$ of π is a morphism from $(H_\alpha, M(A))$ to $(K_\beta, M(B))$; see [12, Lemma 2.4].

Definition ([12, 13])**.** *A* (nondegenerate) concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra *is a pair* $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ *consisting of a* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-bimodule* ${}_\beta H_\alpha$ *and a nondegenerate* C^**-algebra* $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ *such that* $\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)A \subseteq A$ *and* $\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B})A \subseteq A$.

Let $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ *and* $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ *be concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$*-algebras.*

A morphism *from* $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ *to* $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ *is a* $*$*-homomorphism* $\pi\colon A \to B$ *such that* $\delta = [I_\pi \beta]$ *and* $\gamma = [I_\pi \alpha]$, *where*

$$I_\pi := \bigl\{T \in \mathcal{L}({}_\beta H_\alpha, {}_\delta K_\gamma) \,\big|\, Ta = \pi(a)T \textit{ for all } a \in A\bigr\}. \tag{17}$$

The fiber product *of* $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ *and* $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ *is the* C^**-algebra*

$$A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B := \bigl\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K) \,\big|\, T|\alpha\rangle_1, T^*|\alpha\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\alpha\rangle_1 B] \textit{ and } T|\delta\rangle_2, T^*|\delta\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\delta\rangle_2 A]\bigr\}.$$

Let $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ and $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ be concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras. If π is a morphism from $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ to $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$, then by [12, Lemma 2.2],

$$\pi(a\rho_\alpha(b^\dagger)) = \pi(a)\rho_\gamma(b^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(a\rho_\beta(b)) = \pi(a)\rho_\delta(b) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, b \in \mathfrak{B}, b^\dagger \in \mathfrak{B}^\dagger.$$

By [13, Lemma 3.9], the pair

$$({}_\beta H_\alpha, A) \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} ({}_\delta K_\gamma, B) := \big({}_\beta H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K_\gamma, A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B\big)$$

is a concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra .

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\phi^{(i)}$ be a morphism of nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras $({}_{\beta_i} H^i_{\alpha_i}, A^{(i)})$ and $({}_{\delta_i} K^i_{\gamma_i}, B^{(i)})$. If the fiber product $A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta_2} A^{(2)}$ is nondegenerate, then the $*$-homomorphism

$$\phi^{(1)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \phi^{(2)} \colon A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta_2} A^{(2)} \to B^{(1)}{}_{\gamma_1} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\delta_2} B^{(2)}$$

is a morphism from $({}_{\beta_1} H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)}) \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} ({}_{\beta_2} H^2_{\alpha_2}, A^{(2)})$ to $({}_{\delta_1} K^1_{\gamma_1}, B^{(1)}) \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} ({}_{\delta_2} K^2_{\gamma_2}, B^{(2)})$ [13, Theorem 3.15].

We denote by ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-**alg** the category of all nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras, whose morphisms between C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ and $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ are all nondegenerate morphisms from $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ to $({}_\delta K_\gamma, M(B))$. Unfortunately, the fiber product defined above does *not* induce a natural monoidal structure on this category. Apart from the problem that the fiber product of nondegenerate C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras need not be nondegenerate, we encounter the following additional problems.

Unitality The fiber product seems to admit a unit only on certain subcategories of ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-**alg**. Since the fiber product of concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras acts on the relative tensor product of the underlying C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-bimodules and ${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is the unit for this relative tensor product, a unit for the fiber product should be of the form $({}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, C)$, where $C \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ is a suitable C^*-algebra that has to be determined.

Given concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras $({}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, C)$ and $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$, consider the $*$-homomorphisms

$$l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)} \colon C_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\beta A \to \mathcal{L}(H) \quad \text{and} \quad r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)} \colon A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} C \to \mathcal{L}(H)$$

given by conjugation with the isomorphisms $l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)} \colon \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H \to H$ and $r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)} \colon H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \mathfrak{H} \to H$ of Theorem 4.15.

Proposition 5.11. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)}(C_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\beta A) &= \big\{x \in \mathcal{L}(H) \,\big|\, x\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}), x^*\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq A \text{ and } x\beta, x^*\beta \subseteq [\beta C]\big\}, \\ r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)}(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} C) &= \big\{x \in \mathcal{L}(H) \,\big|\, x\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), x^*\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) \subseteq A \text{ and } x\alpha, x^*\alpha \subseteq [\alpha C]\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions and the fact that

$$l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)}|\beta\rangle_2 = \beta, \quad l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)}|\mathfrak{B}\rangle_1 = \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}), \quad r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)}|\alpha\rangle_2 = \alpha, \quad r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha)}|\mathfrak{B}^\dagger\rangle_2 = \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger),$$

see also Remark 4.16. ☐

Remarks 5.12. Let $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ and $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$ be concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras.

i) Assume that $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ are unital. Then by Proposition 5.11,

$$l_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger{}_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\beta A) = A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad r_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)}(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \mathfrak{B}) = A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha).$$

ii) Proposition 5.11 suggests to consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &:= \{x \in \mathcal{L}(H) \mid x\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), x^*\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), x\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}), x^*\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq A\}, \\ \tilde{B} &:= \{y \in \mathcal{L}(K) \mid y\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), y^*\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), y\rho_\delta(\mathfrak{B}), y^*\rho_\delta(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $({}_\beta H_\alpha, \tilde{A})$ and $({}_\delta K_\gamma, \tilde{B})$ are C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras and $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$ and $B \subseteq \tilde{B}$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{A}_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta \tilde{B} = A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B$$

because $[|\delta\rangle_2 \tilde{A}] = [|\delta\mathfrak{B}^\dagger\rangle_2 \tilde{A}] = [|\delta\rangle_2 \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\tilde{A}] = [|\delta\rangle_2 A]$ and $[|\alpha\rangle_1 \tilde{B}] = [|\alpha\mathfrak{B}\rangle_1 \tilde{B}] = [|\alpha\rangle_1 \rho_\delta(\mathfrak{B})\tilde{B}] = [|\alpha\rangle_1 B]$. However, in general, $(\tilde{A}_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta \tilde{B})$ does not coincide with

$$\bigl\{z \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K) \bigm| z\rho_{(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), z^*\rho_{(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger), z\rho_{(\beta \triangleleft \delta)}(\mathfrak{B}), z^*\rho_{(\beta \triangleleft \delta)}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B\bigr\}.$$

iii) If $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ are C^*-algebras and

$$A\beta \subseteq [\beta C_1], \qquad A\alpha \subseteq [\alpha C_2], \qquad B\delta \subseteq [\delta C_1], \qquad B\gamma \subseteq [\gamma C_2],$$

then

$$(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B)(\beta \triangleleft \delta) \subseteq [(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B)|\delta\rangle_2 \beta] \subseteq [|\delta\rangle_2 A\beta] \subseteq [|\delta\rangle_2 \beta C_1] = [(\beta \triangleleft \delta)C_1]$$

and similarly $(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B)(\alpha \triangleright \gamma) \subseteq [(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)C_2]$.

Associativity The fiber product is not associative: If $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$, $({}_\delta K_\gamma, B)$, $({}_\phi L_\epsilon, C)$ are concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras, then the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}\bigl((H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K)_{(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\phi L\bigr) \to \mathcal{L}\bigl(H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{(\delta \triangleleft \phi)} (K_\gamma \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\phi L)\bigr)$$

given by conjugation with the isomorphism

$$\alpha_{({}_\beta H_\alpha, {}_\delta K_\gamma, {}_\phi L_\epsilon)} \colon (H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\delta K)_{(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\phi L \to H_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{(\delta \triangleleft \phi)} (K_\gamma \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_\phi L)$$

of Theorem 4.15 need *not* identify $(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\delta B)_{(\alpha \triangleright \gamma)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\phi C$ with $A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{(\delta \triangleleft \phi)} (B_\gamma \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_\phi C)$.

However, for each $n \geq 2$, we can define an *unconditional fiber product* of n C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras $({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)}), \ldots, ({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})$ as follows. Since the relative tensor product of C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-bimodules is associative, we can define a C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-bimodule

$${}_\beta H_\alpha := {}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} {}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n},$$

neglecting the order in which the relative tensor products are formed. For each $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, put $\alpha^{(k)} := \alpha_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \alpha_k$ and $\beta^{(k+1)} := \beta_{k+1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_n$. Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and put $\sigma_k := \rho_{\beta_k}$ and $\rho_k := \rho_{\alpha_k}$. If $1 < k < n$, we can identify H with

$$\alpha^{(k-1)} \ogreaterthan_{\sigma_k} H^k{}_{\rho_k}\olessthan \beta^{(k+1)},$$

and define $\gamma^{(k)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H^k, H)$ to be the closed linear span of all operators of the form $\zeta \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta$, where $\xi \in \alpha^{(k-1)}$ and $\eta \in \beta^{(k+1)}$. We put $\gamma^{(1)} := \beta^{(2)}$ and $\gamma^{(n)} := \alpha^{(n-1)}$.

The *unconditional fiber product* of $({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)})$, …, $({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})$ is the C^*-algebra

$$A = A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta_2} \cdots {}_{\alpha_{n-1}} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta_n} A^{(n)} := \bigl\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H) \bigm| T\gamma^{(k)}, T^*\gamma^{(k)} \subseteq [\gamma^{(k)}A^{(k)}] \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, n\bigr\}.$$

One easily checks that $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ is a concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra.

There are many different ways to form a C^*-algebra on H by successive applications of the fiber product construction to the C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras $({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)}), \ldots, ({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})$. These ways correspond bijectively with the set $\mathcal{T}_n$ of all binary trees with precisely n leaves, where the leaves represent $({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)})$, …, $({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})$, and each internal node of the tree represents the fiber product of the C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras associated to the left and to the right subtree of that node. For each tree $t \in \mathcal{T}_n$, we denote the corresponding iterated fiber product of $({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)})$, …, $({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})$ by

$$\bigstar^t\big(({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)}), \ldots, ({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})\big) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H).$$

Now, $A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_2} \cdots {}_{\alpha_{n-1}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_n} A^{(n)}$ is a maximal fiber product in the following sense:

Proposition 5.13. $\bigstar^t\big(({}_{\beta_1}H^1_{\alpha_1}, A^{(1)}),\ \ldots,\ ({}_{\beta_n}H^n_{\alpha_n}, A^{(n)})\big) \subseteq A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_2} \cdots {}_{\alpha_{n-1}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_n} A^{(n)}$ *for each* $t \in \mathcal{T}_n$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

The unconditional fiber product is functorial in the following sense:

Proposition 5.14. *For each* $k = 1, \ldots, n$, *let* $\phi^{(k)}$ *be a morphism of* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-*algebras* $({}_{\beta_k}H^k_{\alpha_k}, A^{(k)})$ *and* $({}_{\delta_k}K^k_{\gamma_k}, B^{(k)})$. *Then there exists a unique morphism*

$$\phi^{(1)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \cdots \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \phi^{(n)} \colon A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_2} \cdots {}_{\alpha_{n-1}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_n} A^{(n)} \to B^{(1)}{}_{\gamma_1}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\delta_2} \cdots {}_{\gamma_{n-1}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\delta_n} B^{(n)}$$

such that for all $T_1 \in I_{\phi^{(1)}}$, …, $T_n \in I_{\phi^{(n)}}$ *and* $x \in A^{(1)}{}_{\alpha_1}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_2} \cdots {}_{\alpha_{n-1}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta_n} A^{(n)}$,

$$(\phi^{(1)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \cdots \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \phi^{(n)})(x) \cdot (T_1 \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} T_n) = (T_1 \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes} T_n) \cdot x.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as in the case where $n = 2$, see [13, Proposition 3.13]. □

5.3 A functor from C^*-families to concrete C^*-algebras

As in Subsection 4.3, we fix the following data:

- a decomposable C^*-algebra B with an admissible inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$,
- a covariant representation (π, v) of (B, Θ) on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K}$ (see Section 3), where π is faithful.

We define $\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ as in Section 3, and put

$$\mathfrak{H} := \boldsymbol{I}B, \qquad \mathfrak{B} := \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}), \qquad \mathfrak{B}^\dagger := \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Then ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ is a C^*-base (Proposition 4.17). We construct a faithful functor from the category of Θ-admissible C^*-families on C^*-B-bimodules to the category of nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras.

Proposition 5.15. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be a* C^**-family on a right* C^**-*B*-*B*-bimodule* E.

i) $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E)$ *is* C^**-algebra, and* $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C})$.

ii) If $\mathscr{C}$ *is* Θ*-admissible, then* $\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}\big)$ *is a nondegenerate concrete* C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-*algebra.*

Proof. i) By Proposition 3.4, $\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})^* = \boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C}^*) = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$ and $[\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})] \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}[\mathscr{C}\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$, so $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$ is a C^*-algebra. Likewise, $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})$ is a C^*-algebra, and $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C})$ because $[\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}))\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})] \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}[\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$.

ii) By Propositions 3.4, 4.18 and Θ-admissibility of $\mathscr{C}$,

$$[\rho_{\alpha(E)}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}[r_E(\mathscr{H}(B))\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}, \qquad [\rho_{\beta(E)}(\mathfrak{B})\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}[l_E(\mathscr{H}(B))\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}.$$

By [15, Remarks 3.9 (iv)], the C^*-family $\mathscr{C}$ is nondegenerate if and only if the C^*-algebra $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^B_B(E)$ is nondegenerate, and in that case, also $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}E)$ is nondegenerate. □

The assignment $\mathscr{C} \mapsto \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$ is functorial in the following sense:

Proposition 5.16. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be Θ-admissible C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively.*

- *i) Let ϕ be a normal morphism from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D})$. Then there exists a unique $*$-homomorphism $\boldsymbol{J}\phi\colon \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C} \to M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D})$ such that $(\boldsymbol{J}\phi)(\boldsymbol{I}c) = \boldsymbol{I}\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(c)$ for all $c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$, $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$. If ϕ is nondegenerate, then so is $\boldsymbol{J}\phi$. If $\phi(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq \mathscr{D}$, then $(\boldsymbol{J}\phi)(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}) \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D}$. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{J}\phi$ is a morphism from $\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}\big)$ to $\big({}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}, M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D})\big)$.*
- *ii) Let ψ be a morphism from $\big({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}\big)$ to $\big({}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}, M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D})\big)$. Then there exists a Θ-admissible C^*-family $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F)$ and a unique normal morphism ϕ from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{B}$ such that $\psi = \boldsymbol{J}\phi$.*

Proof. i) Existence of the $*$-homomorphism $\boldsymbol{J}\phi$ follows by a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.7. We only prove that $\boldsymbol{J}\phi$ is a morphism of C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras, i.e., that $[I_{\boldsymbol{J}\phi}\alpha(E)] \supseteq \alpha(F)$ and $[I_{\boldsymbol{J}\phi}\beta(E)] \supseteq \beta(F)$. Using Proposition 4.20, one easily checks that $\boldsymbol{J}I_{\phi} \subseteq I_{\boldsymbol{J}\phi}$. Now, $[I_{\phi}E] = F$ by assumption of I_{ϕ}, and hence $[I_{\boldsymbol{J}\phi}\alpha(E)] \supseteq \boldsymbol{J}[I_{\phi}l(\mathscr{H}(E))] = \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(F)) = \alpha(F)$. A similar calculation shows that $[I_{\boldsymbol{J}\phi}\alpha(E)] \supseteq \beta(F)$.

ii) Put $J_{\psi} := \{T \in \mathcal{L}^{B}_{B}(E,F) \mid \boldsymbol{I}T \in I_{\psi}\}$. Then $[(\boldsymbol{J}J_{\psi})\alpha(E)] = [I_{\psi}\alpha(E)] = \alpha(F)$ by Proposition 4.20 and assumption on ψ, and hence $[J_{\psi}E] = F$.

Note that $[J^*_{\psi}J_{\psi}]$ commutes with every element of $\mathscr{C}$ because $\mathscr{C}$ is Θ-supported, $[I^*_{\psi}I_{\psi}] = \boldsymbol{J}[J^*_{\psi}J_{\psi}]$ commutes with $\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$, and $\boldsymbol{I}$ is faithful. Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and $c \in \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$. Since $\langle S'\xi'|Sc\xi\rangle = \sigma(\langle S'c^*\xi'|S\xi\rangle)$ for all $S, S' \in J_{\psi}$ and $\xi, \xi' \in E$, we can define linear maps

$$\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(c)^*\colon F \to F,\ S'\xi' \mapsto S'c^*\xi', \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{\sigma}_{\sigma}(c)\colon F \to F,\ S\xi \mapsto Sc\xi,$$

where $S, S' \in J_{\psi}$ and $\xi, \xi' \in E$. Using the relation $J^*_{\psi}J_{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}^{B}_{B}(E)$, one easily checks that $\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(c)^* \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho^*}_{\sigma^*}(F)$ and $\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(c) \in \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(F)$. Letting ρ, σ, c vary, we obtain a family of maps $\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}\colon \mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma} \to \mathscr{L}^{\rho}_{\sigma}(F)$, where $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$. By construction, $J_{\psi} \subseteq I_{\phi}$. Thus, ϕ is a normal morphism from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{L}(F)$. By Proposition 5.6 iv), $\mathscr{B} := ([\phi^{\rho}_{\sigma}(\mathscr{C}^{\rho}_{\sigma})])_{\rho,\sigma} \subseteq \mathscr{L}(F)$ is a Θ-admissible C^*-family. By construction, $\psi = \boldsymbol{J}\phi$. □

Remark 5.17. We do not know whether the normal morphism ϕ in ii) satisfies $\phi(\mathscr{C}) \subseteq \mathscr{D}$.

Corollary 5.18. *The assignments $\mathscr{C} \mapsto \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}$ and $\phi \mapsto \boldsymbol{J}\phi$ define a faithful functor*

$$(B,\Theta)\text{-}\mathbf{C^*}\textbf{-family} \to {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}\text{-}{}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\textbf{-alg}.$$

The functor constructed above embeds the fiber product of C^*-families into the spatial C^*-fiber product of concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebras. Indeed, let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be Θ-admissible C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively. Then conjugation by the isomorphism $\tau_{E,F}\colon \boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F) \to \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan F)$ defines an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau_{E,F}}\colon \mathcal{L}\big(\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)\big) \to \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan F)),$$

and the following result holds:

Theorem 5.19. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ be Θ-admissible C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively. Then $\mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{\tau_{E,F}}\big(\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})\big) \subseteq \boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})_{\alpha(E)}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{D})$.*

Proof. Put $\tau := \tau_{E,F}$. It suffices to show that

$$\big[\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})\tau|\alpha(E)\rangle_1\big] \subseteq \big[\tau|\alpha(E)\rangle_1\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{D})\big] \quad \text{and} \quad \big[\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})\tau|\beta(F)\rangle_2\big] \subseteq \big[\tau|\beta(F)\rangle_2\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C})\big].$$

Since $\tau|\alpha(E)\rangle_1 = \boldsymbol{J}|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1$ and $\tau|\beta(F)\rangle_2 = \boldsymbol{J}|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2$ (see equation (13)), these inclusions follow from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{J}[(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1] &= \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{C}\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1\mathscr{D}] = \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1\mathscr{D}], \\ \boldsymbol{J}[(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D})|\mathscr{H}(F)]_2] &= \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{D}\mathscr{H}(F)\rangle_1\mathscr{C}] = \boldsymbol{J}[|\mathscr{H}(F)\rangle_2\mathscr{C}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.20. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathscr{C}^{(i)}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{(i)}$ be Θ-admissible C^*-families on C^*-B-B-bimodules E^i and F^i, respectively, and let $\phi^{(i)}$ be a nondegenerate normal morphism from $\mathscr{C}^{(i)}$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{D}^{(i)})$. Put $\Phi_E := \tau_{E^1,E^2}$ and $\Phi_F := \tau_{F^1,F^2}$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{C}^{(1)} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C}^{(2)}) \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{J}(\phi^{(1)} \ogreaterthan \phi^{(2)})"] \arrow[d, hook, "\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_E}^{-1}"] & M\big(\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{D}^{(1)} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{D}^{(2)})\big) \arrow[d, hook, "\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_F}^{-1}"] \\
(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}^{(1)})_{\alpha(E^1)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta(E^2)}(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}^{(2)}) \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{J}(\phi^{(1)}) \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \boldsymbol{J}(\phi^{(2)})"] & M\big((\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D}^{(1)})_{\alpha(F^1)} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} {}_{\beta(F^2)}(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{D}^{(2)})\big).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This follows easily from the definitions. □

6 Applications to pseudo-multiplicative unitaries and Hopf C^*-bimodules

In this section, we apply the functors constructed in Subsections 4.3 and 5.3 to Hopf C^*-families and to pseudo-multiplicative unitaries. As before, we fix a decomposable C^*-algebra B with an admissible inverse semigroup $\Theta \subseteq \mathrm{PAut}(B)$ and a covariant representation (π, υ) of (B, Θ) on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K}$ (see Section 3), where π is faithful, define $\boldsymbol{I}$, $\boldsymbol{J}$ as in Section 3, and put $\mathfrak{H} := \boldsymbol{I}B$, $\mathfrak{B} := \boldsymbol{J}l(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger := \boldsymbol{J}r(\mathscr{H}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.

6.1 From Hopf C^*-families to concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules

Recall that a *Hopf C^*-family* [15] over B is a nondegenerate C^*-family $\mathscr{A}$ on a right C^*-B-B-bimodule equipped with a nondegenerate morphism $\Delta\colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A})$ such that

i) $[\Delta(\mathscr{A})(\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{id}})] \subseteq \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}$ and $[\Delta(\mathscr{A})(\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{id}} \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id})] \subseteq \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}$, and

ii) the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A} \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"] & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan \Delta"] \\
\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}) \arrow[r, "\Delta \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}"] & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We call $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta)$ *bisimplifiable* if the inclusions in i) are equalities, and Θ-*admissible* if $\mathscr{A}$ is Θ-admissible and Δ is normal. A normal morphism of Θ-admissible Hopf C^*-families $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{\mathscr{A}})$ and $(\mathscr{C}, \Delta_{\mathscr{C}})$ is a normal nondegenerate morphism ϕ from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C})$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A} \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}"] & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C}) \arrow[d, "\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}"] \\
\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}) \arrow[r, "\phi \ogreaterthan \phi"] & \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{C} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{C}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Replacing the internal tensor product "$\ogreaterthan$" by the flipped internal tensor product "$\olessthan$" in the target of Δ and in the diagrams above, one arrives at the notion of *flipped Hopf C^*-families* and their morphisms.

Recall that a *concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule* [13] over ${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$ consists of a nondegenerate concrete C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-algebra $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ and a morphism Δ from $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ to $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A) \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}$

$({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ that makes the following diagram commute,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"] & A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \Delta"] \\
A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A \arrow[r, "\Delta \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \mathrm{id}"] & A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A$ denotes the unconditional fiber product (see Subsection 5.2). A morphism of concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A, \Delta_A)$ and $({}_\delta K_\gamma, C, \Delta_C)$ is a morphism ϕ from $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A)$ to $({}_\delta K_\gamma, M(C))$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_A"] & M(C) \arrow[d, "\Delta_C"] \\
A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A \arrow[r, "\phi \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \phi"] & M(C_\gamma \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\delta C).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 6.1. We can not yet formulate an analogue of the bisimplifiability condition for a concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A, \Delta)$. One could compare spaces of the form $[\Delta(A)(A^{(\alpha)}{}_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta 1)]$ and $[\Delta(A)(1_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta A^{(\beta)})]$, where natural choices for $A^{(\alpha)}$ and $A^{(\beta)}$ are $A \cap \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$ and $A \cap \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B})'$, respectively, or $A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$ and $A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$, to the fiber product $A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A$. But this fiber product has to be replaced by a smaller C^*-subalgebra.

Another natural condition on a concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A, \Delta)$ would be to demand that $[\Delta(A)|\alpha\rangle_1] = [|\alpha\rangle_1 A]$ and $[\Delta(A)|\beta\rangle_2] = [|\beta\rangle_2 A]$.

The functor constructed in the preceding section yields an embedding of the category of Θ-admissible Hopf C^*-families into the category of concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules:

Theorem 6.2. *i) Let $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{\mathscr{A}})$ be a Θ-admissible Hopf C^*-family on a right C^*-B-B-bimodule E. Then $({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A})$ together with the composition*

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A}} := \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{\tau_{E,E}} \circ \boldsymbol{J}(\Delta_{\mathscr{A}})\colon \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A} \to M(\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A})) \to M(\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{A})_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta \boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{A}))$$

is a concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule.

ii) Let $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{\mathscr{A}})$ and $(\mathscr{C}, \Delta_{\mathscr{C}})$ be Θ-admissible Hopf C^*-families on right C^*-B-B-bimodules E and F, respectively, and let ϕ be normal morphism from $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{\mathscr{A}})$ to $(\mathscr{C}, \Delta_{\mathscr{C}})$. Put $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}} := \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{\tau_{F,F}} \circ \boldsymbol{J}(\Delta_{\mathscr{C}})$. Then $\boldsymbol{J}\phi\colon \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A} \to M(\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C})$ is a morphism of the concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules $({}_{\beta(E)}\boldsymbol{I}(E)_{\alpha(E)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A}})$ and $({}_{\beta(F)}\boldsymbol{I}(F)_{\alpha(F)}, \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}, \Delta_{\boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{C}})$.

Proof. i) Put $\alpha := \alpha(E)$, $\beta := \beta(E)$, and $A := \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A}$. First, we show that $\Delta_A(A) \subseteq A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A$. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is nondegenerate, so is the C^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}}$. Using the relation $\tau_{E,E}|\alpha(E)\rangle_1 = \boldsymbol{J}|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1$ (see equation (13)) and Theorem 5.19

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\Delta_A(A)|\alpha\rangle_1\big] &\subseteq \tau_{E,E}\boldsymbol{J}\big[\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{A})|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1\big] \\
&= \tau_{E,E}\boldsymbol{J}\big[\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{A})(\mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{id}}_{\mathrm{id}} \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id})|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1\big] \\
&\subseteq \tau_{E,E}\boldsymbol{J}\big[(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A})|\mathscr{H}(E)\rangle_1\big] \\
&= \big[\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau_{E,E}}(\boldsymbol{J}(\mathscr{A} \ogreaterthan \mathscr{A}))|\alpha\rangle_1\big] \subseteq [(A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A)|\alpha\rangle_1] \subseteq [|\alpha\rangle_1 A],
\end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation shows that $[\Delta_A(A)|\beta\rangle_2] \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_2 A]$. Therefore, $\Delta_A(A) \subseteq A_\alpha \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*}{}_\beta A$.

The equation $(\Delta_A \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_A = (\mathrm{id} \underset{\mathfrak{H}}{*} \Delta_A) \circ \Delta_A$ follows from the equation $(\Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} = (\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan \Delta_{\mathscr{A}}) \circ \Delta_{\mathscr{A}}$ and Theorem 5.20.

ii) This follows directly from Theorem 5.20. □

Remark 6.3. The constructions in the preceding theorem carry over to flipped Hopf C^*-families in a straightforward way.

6.2 Pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules

Finally, we apply the techniques developed so far to pseudo-multiplicative unitaries, and compare the approaches of [11, 15] and [12, 13].

Let us recall the definition of pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules from [11, 15]. A *C^*-trimodule* over B consists of a full C^*-module E over B and two faithful nondegenerate commuting representations $s, r\colon B \to \mathcal{L}_B(E)$. We denote by ${}_rE$ and ${}_sE$ the right C^*-B-B-bimodules formed by E and the representations r and s, respectively. We call (E, s, r) Θ-admissible if ${}_rE$ and ${}_sE$ are Θ-admissible. Let (E, s, r) be a C^*-trimodule over B. Then we can define representations r_1, s_2, r_2 on $E_s{\olessthan}E$ by $r_1(b) := r(b) \olessthan 1$, $s_2(b) := 1 \olessthan s(b)$, $r_2(b) := 1 \olessthan r(b)$ for all $b \in B$, and similarly representations r_1, s_1, s_2 on $E \ogreaterthan_r E$. A *pseudo-multiplicative unitary on* (E, s, r) is a unitary

$$W\colon E_s{\olessthan}E \to E \ogreaterthan_r E$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

i) $Wr_2(b) = s_1(b)W$, $Wr_1(b) = r_1(b)W$, $Ws_2(b) = s_2(b)W$ for all $b \in B$,

ii) the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_s{\olessthan}E_s{\olessthan}E \arrow[r, "W\olessthan 1"] \arrow[d, "1\olessthan W"] & E \ogreaterthan_r E_s{\olessthan}E \arrow[r, "1\ogreaterthan W"] & E \ogreaterthan_r E \ogreaterthan_r E, \\
E_s{\olessthan}(E \ogreaterthan_r E) \arrow[rr, "W_{13}"] & & (E_{\hat{r}}{\olessthan}E) \ogreaterthan_r E \arrow[u, "W\ogreaterthan 1"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

where W_{13} acts like W on the first and third component of the internal tensor product.

If W is such a pseudo-multiplicative unitary, then the unitary $W^{op} := \Sigma W^*\Sigma\colon E_r{\olessthan}E \to E \ogreaterthan_s E$ is a pseudo-multiplicative unitary on (E, r, s) [15, Remark 2.4].

Next, we recall the definition of C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries from [12, 13]. A *C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-module* consists of a Hilbert space H with pairwise compatible C^*-factorizations $\alpha \in \mathrm{C^*\text{-}fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger})$ and $\beta, \hat{\beta} \in \mathrm{C^*\text{-}fact}(H; {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}})$. A *$C^*$-pseudo-multiplicative unitary* on a C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-module $(H, \alpha, \hat{\beta}, \beta)$ is a unitary

$$V\colon H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \to H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

i) $V(\alpha \triangleleft \alpha) = \alpha \triangleright \alpha$, $V(\hat{\beta} \triangleright \beta) = \hat{\beta} \triangleleft \beta$, $V(\hat{\beta} \ogreaterthan \hat{\beta}) = \alpha \triangleright \hat{\beta}$, $V(\beta \triangleleft \alpha) = \beta \triangleleft \beta$,

ii) the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \arrow[r, "V\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}1"] \arrow[d, "1\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}V"] & H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \arrow[r, "1\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}V"] & H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H, \\
H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha\triangleright\alpha}(H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H) \arrow[rr, "V_{13}"] & & (H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H)_{\alpha\triangleleft\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H \arrow[u, "V\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}1"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

where V_{13} acts like W on the first and third component of the internal tensor product (see [13, Lemma 4.1]).

If V is such a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary, then the unitary $V^{op} := \Sigma V^*\Sigma\colon H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \to H_{\alpha}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_{\hat{\beta}}H$ is a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary on $(H, \alpha, \beta, \hat{\beta})$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let (E, s, r) be a Θ-admissible C^*-trimodule over B and let $W\colon E_s{\olessthan}E \to E \ogreaterthan_r E$ be a pseudo-multiplicative unitary. Then $\alpha({}_sE) = \alpha({}_rE)$. Put*

$$H := \boldsymbol{I}E, \quad \alpha := \alpha({}_rE) = \alpha({}_sE), \quad \hat{\beta} := \beta({}_sE), \quad \beta := \beta({}_rE),$$
$$\tau := \tau_{{}_rE,{}_rE}\colon H_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta H \to \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan_r E), \quad \tau^{op} := \tau_{{}_sE,{}_sE}\colon H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H \to \boldsymbol{I}(E_s{\olessthan}E).$$

Then $(H, \alpha, \widehat{\beta}, \beta)$ is a C^*-${}_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-${}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$-module, and the unitary

$$V := \tau^{-1} \circ \boldsymbol{I}W \circ \tau^{op}\colon H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H \to \boldsymbol{I}(E_s{\olessthan}E) \to \boldsymbol{I}(E \ogreaterthan_r E) \to H_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta H$$

is a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary.

Proof. Remark 4.19 shows that $\alpha({}_sE) = \alpha({}_rE)$. By equation (13), Proposition 4.10, and assumption on W,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau V[|\widehat{\beta}\rangle_1\beta] = \boldsymbol{I}(W)\boldsymbol{J}\big[|{}_sE]_1 r({}_rE)\big] &= \boldsymbol{J}\big[Wr({}_sE \olessthan {}_rE)\big] \\ &= \boldsymbol{J}r({}_sE \ogreaterthan {}_rE) = \boldsymbol{J}\big[|{}_rE]_2 r({}_sE)\big]\big] = \tau[|\beta\rangle_1\widehat{\beta}],\end{aligned}$$

showing that $V(\widehat{\beta} \ogreaterthan \beta) = \widehat{\beta} \olessthan \beta$. Similar calculations show that $V(\alpha \triangleleft \alpha) = \alpha \triangleright \alpha$, $V(\hat{\beta} \ogreaterthan \hat{\beta}) = \alpha \triangleright \hat{\beta}$, $V(\beta \triangleleft \alpha) = \beta \triangleleft \beta$; here, one has to use Proposition 4.24. Finally, tedious but straightforward arguments show that commutativity of diagram (18) implies commutativity of diagram (19). ☐

Let E, s, r, W and $H, \alpha, \hat{\beta}, \beta, V$ be as in the Theorem above. In [15], we associated to W two families

$$\widehat{\mathscr{A}} := [[\mathscr{H}({}_rE)|_2 W|\mathscr{H}({}_rE)\rangle_2] \subseteq \mathscr{L}({}_sE) \qquad \mathscr{A} := [\langle\mathscr{H}({}_sE)|_1 W|\mathscr{H}({}_sE)]_1] \subseteq \mathscr{L}({}_rE)$$

and two families of maps

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\Delta}_W)^\rho_\sigma\colon \widehat{\mathscr{A}}^\rho_\sigma &\to \mathscr{L}^\rho_\sigma(E_s{\olessthan}E), & (\Delta_W)^\rho_\sigma\colon \mathscr{A}^\rho_\sigma &\to \mathscr{L}^\rho_\sigma(E \ogreaterthan_r E), \\ \hat{a} &\mapsto W^*(\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan \hat{a})W, & a &\mapsto W(a \olessthan \mathrm{id})W^*,\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$. In [13], we associated to V two spaces

$$\widehat{A} := [\langle\beta|_2 V|\alpha\rangle_2] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H), \qquad A := [\langle\alpha|_1 V|\widehat{\beta}\rangle_1] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H),$$

and two maps

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Delta}_V\colon \widehat{A} &\to \mathcal{L}(H_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H), & \Delta_V\colon A &\to \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta H), \\ x &\mapsto V^*(1_\alpha\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\beta x)V, & y &\mapsto V(y_{\widehat{\beta}}\underset{\mathfrak{H}}{\otimes}{}_\alpha 1)V^*.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that in Theorem 6.2 and Remark 6.3, we associated to every (flipped) Θ-admissible Hopf C^*-family a concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule.

Proposition 6.5. *i) If $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_W)$ is a Hopf C^*-family, then it is Θ-admissible, and then $({}_\beta H_\alpha, A, \Delta_V)$ is the associated concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule.*

ii) If $(\widehat{\mathscr{A}}, \widehat{\Delta}_W)$ is a flipped Hopf C^*-family, then it is Θ-admissible, and $({}_\alpha H_{\widehat{\beta}}, \widehat{A}, \widehat{\Delta}_V)$ is the associated concrete Hopf C^*-bimodule.

Proof. i) Assume that $\mathscr{A}$ is a C^*-family. The definition of $\mathscr{A}$ and the fact that ${}_sE$ is Θ-decomposable imply that $\mathscr{A}$ is Θ-supported. By [15, Proposition 4.4], $[\mathscr{A}\mathscr{O}({}_rE)] \subseteq [\mathscr{A}]$

and $[\mathscr{A}^{\rho}_{\sigma}\mathscr{O}^{\rho^{*}\rho}_{\sigma^{*}\sigma}({}_{r}E)] = \mathscr{A}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$ for all $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{PAut}(B)$, and by [15, Proposition 4.5], $\mathscr{A}$ is nondegenerate. Consequently, $\mathscr{A}$ is Θ-admissible. By definition, equation (13), and Proposition 3.4,

$$A = \big[\langle\alpha|_{1}\tau(\boldsymbol{I}W)\tau^{op}|\widehat{\beta}\rangle_{1}\big] = \boldsymbol{J}\big[\langle\mathscr{H}({}_{s}E)|_{1}W|\mathscr{H}({}_{s}E)]_{1}\big] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathscr{A},$$

and $\Delta_{V}(\boldsymbol{I}a) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\tau}\left(\boldsymbol{I}(\Delta_{W})^{\rho}_{\sigma}(a)\right)$ for all $\rho, \sigma \in \Theta$ and $a \in \mathscr{A}^{\rho}_{\sigma}$. The claims follow.

ii) The proof is similar to the proof of i). ☐

In [11] and [13], we studied regularity conditions on pseudo-multiplicative unitaries that ensure that $(\widehat{\mathscr{A}}, \widehat{\Delta}_{W})$ and $(\mathscr{A}, \Delta_{W})$ are Hopf C^{*}-families, and that $({}_{\alpha}H_{\widehat{\beta}}, \widehat{A}, \widehat{\Delta}_{V})$ $({}_{\beta}H_{\alpha}, A, \Delta_{V})$ are concrete Hopf C^{*}-bimodules: W is *regular* [11] if $[\langle E|_{1}W|E\rangle_{2}] = \mathcal{K}_{B}(E)$, and V *regular* [13] if $[\langle\alpha|_{1}V|\alpha\rangle_{1}] = [\alpha\alpha^{*}]$.

Proposition 6.6. *If W is regular, then V is regular.*

Proof. This follows from the relations

$$[\alpha\alpha^{*}] = \boldsymbol{J}[l(\mathscr{H}({}_{r}E))l(\mathscr{H}({}_{r}E))^{*}] = \boldsymbol{J}\mathcal{K}_{B}(E), \qquad [\langle\alpha|_{1}V|\alpha\rangle_{2}] = \boldsymbol{J}[\langle E|_{1}W|E\rangle_{2}]. \quad \square$$

References

[1] M. Enock. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoïds. III. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 223(2):311–364, 2005.

[2] M. Enock. Quantum groupoids of compact type. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 4(1):29–133, 2005.

[3] M. Enock and J.-M. Vallin. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras, and quantum groupoids. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(2):249–300, 2000.

[4] M. Enock and J.-M. Vallin. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoids. II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 178(1):156–225, 2000.

[5] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(6):837–934, 2000.

[6] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting. *Math. Scand.*, 92(1):68–92, 2003.

[7] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert C^{*}-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, volume 210 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[8] F. Lesieur. *Groupoïdes quantiques mesurés: axiomatique, étude, dualité, exemples.* PhD thesis, Universite d'Orléans, 2003. Available at `www.univ-orleans.fr/mapmo/publications/lesieur/these.php`.

[9] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[10] A. L. T. Paterson. *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, volume 170 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.

[11] T. Timmermann. *Pseudo-multiplicative unitaries and pseudo-Kac systems on C^{*}-modules.* PhD thesis, Universität Münster, 2005. Available at `www.math.uni-muenster.de/sfb/about/publ/heft394.ps`.

[12] T. Timmermann. C^{*}-pseudo-Kac systems and and duality for coactions of concrete Hopf C^{*}-bimodules. Technical Report 484, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, October 2007. arXiv:0709.4617.

[13] T. Timmermann. C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries. Technical Report 481, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, September 2007. arXiv:0709.2995.

[14] T. Timmermann. Finite-dimensional Hopf C^*-bimodules and C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries. Technical report, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, November 2007. arXiv:0711.1420.

[15] T. Timmermann. Pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules and Hopf C^*-families, 2007. To appear in Journal of Noncommutative Geometry.

[16] J.-M. Vallin. Unitaire pseudo-multiplicatif associé à un groupoïde. Applications à la moyennabilité. *J. Operator Theory*, 44(2):347–368, 2000.